## Sample First Midterm Exam — The Answers

## Background and Advice

Discrete Math students seem to appreciate seeing what one of my exams looks like before they take the first one. I think that reducing anxiety is a good thing, so here's a sample first midterm for you to use to help prepare for the first midterm. I suggest that you treat this as if it were the actual exam. That is, <u>do not</u> look at it until you have studied all of the topics, and then do it in the same setting as we use for midterms: A time period of 50 minutes with no book, no notes, and no electronics. Only when you are finished (or out of time!) should you download and look at the sample answers, and compare them to your own. (Need a timer? Try typing set timer 50 minutes into Google.)

The actual exam will have a dedicated cover page with directions, rather than all of this background, and we'll let you know when you can open the exam and get started. On both the actual exam and this practice exam, the Page O' Logical Equivalences is provided on the last page. And, yes, you can tear it off of the exam to make it easier to use.

Please remember: What you see here is just a sample set of questions. On the actual exam, you will see different questions, some on different topics, phrased in different ways, and presented in different formats. If you are expecting the actual exam to be only a slight variation of this sample exam, you will be disappointed. Study *everything* we've covered!

Last note: This is the only sample exam I will provide this semester. After seeing this (and the real first midterm exam), you'll have a very good idea of what to expect on future exams.

- 1. (11 points) Math Review
  - (a) What is the symbol for the set of positive integers?

 $\mathbb{Z}^+$ .  $\mathbb{N}^*$  is also acceptable.

(b) True or False: 14 is a rational number.

**True.** Because we can write 14 as a ratio of integers  $\left(\frac{14}{1}\right)$ , it is rational.

(c) Evaluate: 
$$\sum_{w=-4}^{2} \frac{w}{2}$$

Observe that the values of w straddle 0. Thus,  $\sum_{w=-2}^{2} \frac{w}{2} = 0$ , and only the terms w = -4 and w = -3 matter.  $\frac{-4}{2} + \frac{-3}{2} = \frac{-7}{2}$ .

(d) Create an example that shows subtraction does not distribute over multiplication.

Here's one example: 4 - (6 \* 2) = -8, but (4 - 6) \* (4 - 2) = -4.

(e) Simplify:  $\log_2((\frac{8}{32})^2)$ 

$$\log_2((\frac{8}{32})^2) = 2 * \log_2(\frac{8}{32}) = 2 * \log_2(\frac{1}{4}) = 2 * \log_2(2^{-2}) = 2 * -2 = -4$$
  
Or: 
$$\log_2((\frac{8}{32})^2) = 2 * \log_2(\frac{8}{32}) = 2 * (\log_2(2^3) - \log_2(2^5)) = 2 * (3 - 5) = -4$$

- 2. (21 points) More Math Review!
  - (a) What is  $443_{10}$  in both binary and hexadecimal?

 $110111011_2$  and  $1BB_{16}$ 

(b) What is  $12A_{11}$  in Base 7?

 $12A_{11}$  is equal to  $153_{10}$   $(1 * (11^2) + 2 * (11^1) + 10 * (11^0) = 121 + 22 + 10 = 153)$ . In Base 7, the last three position values are 49, 7 and 1. Three 49s is 147, which is 6 shy of 153. So, we need 3 49s, 0 7s, and 6 1s to total the same amount;  $12A_{11} = 306_7$ .

(c) Simplify to a fraction of the form  $\frac{a}{b}$ :  $1 + \frac{2}{3 + \frac{4}{2}}$ .

$$1 + \frac{2}{3 + \frac{4}{5}} = 1 + \frac{2}{\frac{19}{5}} = 1 + \frac{10}{19} = \frac{29}{19}$$

(d) Let  $A = \{b, e, f, g\}, B = \{a, c, d, f, g, h\}$ , and  $C = \{b, d, g\}$ , over the universe  $\mathcal{U} = \{a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h\}$ . What are the results of the evaluations of the expressions  $C - (A \cap B)$  and  $(\overline{A} \cap C) \cup B$ ?

$$C - (A \cap B) = \{b, d\}, \text{ and } (\overline{A} \cap C) \cup B = \{a, c, d, f, g, h\} = B.$$

(e) Evaluate:  $\prod_{a=3}^{3} \sum_{b=10}^{12} (2a+b)$ 

$$\prod_{a=3}^{3} \sum_{b=10}^{12} (2a+b) = \prod_{a=3}^{3} ((2a+10) + (2a+11) + (2a+12)) = \prod_{a=3}^{3} (6a+33) = 6(3) + 33 = 51.$$

(f) Evaluate all three expressions:  $7 \mid 15, 7 \setminus 15$ , and 7 % 15.

7 | 15 is false; 7 does not divide 15 evenly. '\' is integer division;  $7 \setminus 15 = 0$ , because we can't get any 15s out of 7. 7% 15 = 7; we can't get any 15s out of 7, so the entire 7 is left over.

3. (3 points) Define: Compound Proposition

"A statement that is a logical combination of multiple simple propositions."

4. (6 points) Is  $\overline{p \to q} \equiv p \land \overline{q}$ ? Construct a truth table to determine your answer (and don't forget to tell us what your answer is!).

	p	q	$p \to q$	$\overline{p \to q}$	$\overline{q}$	$p\wedge \overline{q}$			
	T T F F	T F T F	T F T T	F T F	F T F T	F T F			
Because $\overline{p \to q}$ and $p \land \overline{q}$ evaluate to the same outcomes for all possible inputs, yes, they are equivalent.									

5. (6 points) <u>Without</u> using a truth table, show that  $\overline{p \lor q} \equiv \overline{p \lor (\overline{p} \land q)}$  Remember to justify each step.

Starting with the most complex side, working toward the other side:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \overline{p \lor (\overline{p} \land q)} & \equiv & \overline{(p \lor \overline{p}) \land (p \lor q)} & [\text{Distribution Law}] \\ & \equiv & \overline{\mathbf{T} \land (p \lor q)} & [\text{Negation Law}] \\ & \equiv & \overline{p \lor q} & [\text{Identity Law}] \end{array}$$

You could also answer this using reasoning, but that would be more difficult, given the complexity of the expressions. (But not bad; try it! Show that both sides are the same when p is true, and again when p is false.)

6. (2 points) What must be done to prove  $\forall x P(x), x \in D$  is true, for a given propositional function P(x) and domain D?

It must be shown that P(x) is true for each  $x \in D$ .

- 7. (12 points) Convert the following English statements into logical notation in terms of the operators, symbols, and quantifiers used in class. Be sure to identify your propositions and predicates; domains are provided.
  - (a) Someone is taller than somebody. (domain: people)

Let T(x, y) : x is taller than y, where  $x, y \in$  People. Answer:  $\exists x \exists y T(x, y)$ , where  $x, y \in$  People.

(b) There is no free lunch. (domain: meals)

Let F(x) : x is free, and let L(x) : x is lunch, where  $x \in$  Meals. One Answer:  $\neg \exists x \ (F(x) \land L(x))$ , where  $x \in$  Meals. You could apply various forms of De Morgan's Laws to create others.

(c) "The only consistent people are the dead." (domain: Creatures)

Let D(x) : x is dead, C(x) : x is consistent, and P(x) : x is a person, all with  $x \in$ Creatures. Answer:  $\forall x [(C(x) \land P(x)) \to D(x)]$ , where  $x \in$  Creatures. (The quote is from Aldous Huxley.) 8. (14 points) Convert the following logical expressions to <u>conversational</u> English sentences. Assume that:

 $B(\alpha) : \alpha \text{ is a hummingbird}$  $L(\alpha) : \alpha \text{ is large}$  $H(\alpha) : \alpha \text{ eats honey}$  $C(\alpha) : \alpha \text{ is brightly colored}$ 

(a)  $\overline{L(Tweety)} \oplus C(Tweety)$ 

Tweety is either not large or is brightly colored, but not both.

(b)  $\exists y(B(y) \land \overline{L(y)}), y \in \text{Animals}$ 

Some hummingbirds are small.

(c)  $\forall z(\overline{H(z)} \to \overline{C(z)}), z \in \text{Animals}$ 

Animals that do not eat honey are not brightly colored.

(d)  $\forall w(\overline{L(w)} \lor \overline{H(w)}), w \in \text{Birds}$ 

No large birds eat honey. (It helps to apply various forms of De Morgan's.)

- 9. (12 points) Consider this English conditional proposition: WHENEVER I STUDY LOGIC, I AM HAPPY. Construct variations of it, in English, as instructed below.
  - (a) Converse of the original, with "when" at the front.

When I am happy, I study logic.

(b) Contrapositive of the original, in "if – then" form.

If I am unhappy, then I'm not studying logic.

(c) Inverse of the original, with "if" in the middle of the statement.

I am unhappy if I am not studying logic.

(d) Same meaning as the original, but with "only if" in the middle of the statement.

I study logic only if I am happy.

Also acceptable is its contrapositive: I am unhappy only if I'm not studying logic.

- 10. (3 points) Fill in the Blanks! We know that there are at least three pairs of terms for p and q in the implication  $p \to q$ . Write in the missing name of each pair below.
  - (a) p is the antecedent and q is the consequent.
  - (b) p is the hypothesis and q is the conclusion.
  - (c) p is sufficient and q is necessary .
- 11. (3 points) Define: Logical Equivalence (We covered two definitions in class; either is acceptable.)

Our first definition: "Two propositions p and q are (Logically) Equivalent (written  $p \equiv q$ ) when both evaluate to the same result when presented with the same input. Our second definition: "p and q are (Logically) Equivalent (written  $p \equiv q$ ) if  $p \leftrightarrow q$  is a tautology."

- 12. (7 points) Miscellany!
  - (a) Evaluate:  $0010 \lor (1010 \land \overline{0111})$ , with the operators having the normal meanings in logic.

The answer is 1010. We first negate 0111, producing 1000.  $1010 \land 1000 = 1000$ , because only the first column of bits has two one-bits. Last,  $0010 \lor 1000 = 1010$ .

(b) If a truth table has five proposition labels, how many rows of truth values will the complete truth table have?

 $2^5 = 32$  rows. We have five labels, and each label has two possible values. Two options for the first label times two for the second, etc., is  $2^5$ . (This is an example of the Multiplication Principle, a.k.a. the Product Rule, which we'll define and use extensively in Topic 12 (Counting).)

(c) In the logical expression  $a \oplus b \oplus c \oplus d$ , which operator is evaluated first, the left-most, the center, or the right-most  $\oplus$ , and why?

The left–most. Exclusive–OR is left–associative, meaning that when we have two or more to evaluate and no parentheses to guide us, we evaluate the one on the left first.

## CSc 144 — Discrete Mathematics for Computer Science I

(McCann)

Last Revised: January 2023

## The Page O' Logical Equivalences ("POLE")

<u>Table I</u>: Some Equivalences using AND ( $\wedge$ ) and OR ( $\vee$ ):

<u>Table III</u>: Still More Equivalences (adding Implication  $(\rightarrow)$ ):

(a)	$p \wedge p \equiv p$	Idempotent Laws		$p \to q \equiv \neg p \lor q$	Law of Implication	
(b)	$ \begin{array}{c} p \lor p \equiv p \\ p \land \mathbf{F} \equiv \mathbf{F} \\ p \lor \mathbf{T} \equiv \mathbf{T} \end{array} $	Domination Laws	(c)	$p \to q \equiv \neg q \to \neg p$ $\mathbf{T} \to p \equiv p$ $p \to \mathbf{F} \equiv \neg p$	Law of the Contrapositive "Law of the True Antecedent" "Law of the False Consequent"	
(c)	$ \begin{array}{c} p \lor \mathbf{I} \equiv \mathbf{I} \\ p \land \mathbf{T} \equiv p \\ p \lor \mathbf{F} \equiv p \end{array} $	Identity Laws	(e)	$p \rightarrow \mathbf{F} \equiv \neg p$ $p \rightarrow p \equiv \mathbf{T}$ $p \rightarrow q \equiv (p \land \neg q) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}$	Self-implication (a.k.a. Reflexivity) Reductio Ad Absurdum	¢
(d)	$ \begin{array}{c} p \land q \equiv q \land p \\ p \lor q \equiv q \lor p \end{array} $	Commutative Laws	(g)	$ \begin{array}{l} \neg p \rightarrow q \equiv p \lor q \\ \neg (p \rightarrow q) \equiv p \land \neg q \end{array} $		
(e)	$ \begin{array}{c} (p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv p \wedge (q \wedge r) \\ (p \lor q) \lor r \equiv p \lor (q \lor r) \end{array} $	Associative Laws	(j)	$ \begin{array}{l} \neg (p \rightarrow \neg q) \equiv p \land q \\ (p \rightarrow q) \lor (q \rightarrow p) \equiv \mathbf{T} \end{array} $	Totality	
(f)	$ \begin{array}{c} p \land (q \lor r) \equiv (p \land q) \lor (p \land r) \\ p \lor (q \land r) \equiv (p \lor q) \land (p \lor r) \end{array} $	Distributive Laws	(1)		Exportation Law (a.k.a. Currying)	
(g)	$ \begin{array}{c} p \land (p \lor q) \equiv p \\ p \lor (p \land q) \equiv p \end{array} $	Absorption Laws	(n)	$(p \lor q) \to r \equiv (p \to r) \land (q \to r)$ $p \to (q \land r) \equiv (p \to q) \land (p \to r)$		F
				$p \to (q \lor r) \equiv (p \to q) \lor (p \to r)$ $p \to (q \to r) \equiv q \to (p \to r)$	Commutativity of Antecedents	0
Tab	<u>le II</u> : Some More Equivalences (ac	dding Negation $(\neg)$ :				•
(a) (b)	$ \begin{array}{c} \neg(\neg p) \equiv p \\ p \land \neg p \equiv \mathbf{F} \\ p \lor \neg p \equiv \mathbf{T} \end{array} $	Double Negation Negation Laws	<u>Table</u>	<u>IV</u> : Yet More Equivalences (add and Biimplication $(\leftrightarrow)$ ):	ling Exclusive OR $(\oplus)$	
(c)	$\neg (p \land q) \equiv \neg p \lor \neg q$ $\neg (p \lor q) \equiv \neg p \land \neg q$	De Morgan's Laws	(b)	$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \rightarrow q) \land (q \rightarrow p)$ $p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \land q) \lor (\neg p \land \neg q)$ $p \leftrightarrow q \equiv \neg p \leftrightarrow \neg q$	Definition of Biimplication	
			(d) (e)	$p \oplus q = (p \land \neg q) \lor (\neg p \land q)$ $p \oplus q \equiv (p \land \neg q) \lor (\neg p \land q)$ $p \oplus q \equiv \neg (p \leftrightarrow q)$ $p \oplus q \equiv p \leftrightarrow \neg q \equiv \neg p \leftrightarrow q$	Definition of Exclusive Or	

Notes:

6

1. p, q, and r represent arbitrary logical expressions. They may represent equivalent expressions (e.g., if  $p \equiv q$ , then by Absorption  $p \land (p \lor p) \equiv p$ ).

2.  ${\bf T}$  and  ${\bf F}$  represent the logical values True and False, respectively.

3. These tables show many of the common and/or useful logical equivalences; this is not an exhaustive collection!