# Using the Tester CSC 372 Last updated: January 29, 2016 17:00

The syllabus says,

For programming problems great emphasis will be placed on the ability to deliver code whose output exactly matches the specification. Failing to achieve that will typically result in large point deductions, sometimes the full value of the problem.

Whenever possible I'll use an automated testing tool, "the Tester", to test your solutions for programming problems. For each assignment I'll make available a "student set" of tests that you can run yourself using the Tester. The set of tests used when grading (the "grading set") will often have additional tests. Unless otherwise specified for a problem or an entire assignment, passing all the tests in the student set will guarantee at least 75% of the points for a given problem. It some cases it will be higher, or even 100%, with the student set used as the grading set. I'll call that 75% minimum the "student set guarantee".

Test cases in the grading set are weighted. Those weights are not supplied in the student set but a rule of thumb is that cases for basic functionality are weighted more than edge cases.

Sometimes I'll give you a break for bonehead mistakes but there's no excuse for not using the <u>Tester</u>. If a student says, "I spent many hours on this and it works great, but it failed every test because I had an extra space at the of a line.", I'll ask, "Why didn't you use the Tester?"

## The Tester is on lectura

The Tester is a collection of bash scripts, Ruby programs, an Icon program, and assorted files that are all on lectura. The instructions in this document assume that you're working on lectura.

You'll use the Tester by running aN/tester, where N is the assignment number. For assignment 3 you'll be using a3/tester. Note that the path, a3/tester, assumes that you've made an a3 symlink, as described in the a3 write-up.

## When to use the Tester

If you're doing TDD with an xUnit tool like JUnit, it's appropriate to run tests frequently, typically after almost every change, but that's not the intention with the Tester. I recommend that you first manually test your code with examples from the write-up and other cases that come to mind. When things are look right to the naked eye, then that's the time to run the Tester.

There is an HUnit for Haskell, as well as Hspec, QuickCheck and more, but I haven't seen anything that looks likely to provide more benefit than trouble for our modest needs in 372.

## Quick summary of usage, for the TL;DR crowd

With the a3 symlink in place and your solution for warmup.hs in the current directory, you can test it like this:

#### % a3/tester warmup.hs

To stop it early, use  $^{C}$  (control+C). It might take more than one  $^{C}$ , too.

You can also name multiple problems to be tested:

# % a3/tester join cpfx warmup

The above also shows that the .hs suffix is not required.

It's a tedious time-killer to scroll back looking for the start of the Tester's output. One approach is to pipe into less:

# % a3/tester warmup | less

An alternative on OS X with Terminal and iTerm is to do cmd-K before running the Tester—that clears the scrollback, so when you scroll back, you'll be at the start of the Tester output. I don't know of a keyboard shortcut to clear the scrollback with PuTTY but spring16/bin/clr is a two-line script that clears PuTTY's scrollback. (Let me know if you know a simpler way to do that.)

You'll probably want to test problems one at a time, as you develop them, but to test all problems you can run the tester with no arguments. We can combine that with grep to simply look for failures:

# % a3/tester | grep FAIL

Be sure to capitalize FAIL, or use grep -i fail, to ignore case.

# <u>GREAT IDEA: Do a3/tester | grep FAIL as a final double-check before running a3/turnin.</u>

# More detail on running the tester

For the purpose of this example we'll imagine that there are two more problems on a3: hello.hs and letters.hs.

Let's work with a simple "hello" function, whose code is correct and is in the file hello.hs:

```
% cat hello.hs
hello s = "Hello, " ++ s ++ "!"
% ghci hello.hs
...
> :type hello
hello :: [Char] -> [Char]
> hello "whm"
"Hello, whm!"
```

Here's a test run with no failures:

Test Execution

Those two lines starting with "Test: "indicate that two tests were run. Both passed. I've underlined and bolded the text that shows what's actually being tested. The first test, :type hello, checks the type of the function hello. The second test runs the function, with hello "world".

The Test: lines start with ulimit -t 2;, which limits the CPU time for the test to two seconds. The text that follows that semicolon, up to the final apostrophe, is the exact command that's run for that test. You can do a copy/paste to run it yourself. Let's try both of them:

```
% a3/tesths hello.hs ':type hello'
*Main> >> "TESTING START"
> hello :: [Char] -> [Char]
> Leaving GHCi.
% a3/tesths hello.hs 'hello "world"'
*Main> >> "TESTING START"
> "Hello, world!\n"
> Leaving GHCi.
```

a3/tesths is a bash script that loads the named file with ghci and then feeds the third argument, such as ":type hello", into ghci.

Note: If you include the ulimit -t 2; when trying a test, like this,

```
% ulimit -t 2; a3/tesths hello.hs 'hello "world"'
```

you'll set the CPU time limit to two seconds for all future commands in that instance of bash. If you inadvertently do that, you'll need to log out and log in again to clear it. (An ordinary user can decrease their CPU time limit, but cannot raise it.)

#### Understanding differences reported by the Tester

If a test fails, the diff command is to used to show the differences between the expected output and the actual output. "diffs" can sometimes be hard to understand. Googling for "understanding diffs" or "deciphering diffs" turns up a lot of stuff, but here are a couple of Tester-specific examples.

Let's intentionally break hello by removing the comma in "Hello, ". Here's what the Tester produces, with line numbers added for reference. Line 5 is long and is shown wrapped around.

```
% a3/tester hello.hs
[...header lines not shown...]
1. Test: 'ulimit -t 2; a3/tesths hello.hs ':type hello'': PASSED
2.
```

```
3. Test: 'ulimit -t 2; a3/tesths hello.hs 'hello "world"'': FAILED
4. Differences (expected/actual):
5. *** <u>a3/master/tester.out/hello.out.02</u> 2016-01-28
   12:52:52.292586244 -0700
6. --- tester.out/hello.out.02 2016-01-28 23:22:41.190616251 -0700
7. **********
8. *** 1.3 ****
9.
     *Main> > > "TESTING START"
10. ! > "Hello, world!"
11.
     > Leaving GHCi.
12. --- 1, 3 ----
13.
     *Main> > > "TESTING START"
14. ! > "Hello world!"
15.
    > Leaving GHCi.
```

The type of hello is unaffected by removing that comma but the output differs, so the first test still passes but the second test now fails.

Lines 5 and 6 name the two files that are being "diffed" (compared). I've underlined and bolded the file names. The first is the file that contains the expected output,

a3/master/tester.out/hello.out.02. The second, tester.out/hello.out.02, contains the output produced by running a3/tesths hello.hs 'hello "world"' in the current directory. <u>That directory, tester.out</u>, was created in the current directory by the Tester, to <u>hold various files created by the testing process</u>. Needless to say, you can look at both files with cat, less, editors, or any other tool.

The names of the files being compared are preceded by \*\*\* and ---, which are used later, on lines 8 and 12, to identify the files those blocks of text come from. Line 8's "\*\*\* 1, 3 \*\*\*\*" means that what follows are lines 1-3 from the expected output. Line 12's "--- 1, 3 ----" means that what follows are lines 1-3 from the actual output. (Diffs in tester output always follow the convention of showing the expected output first and the actual output second.)

The exclamation marks on lines 10 and 14 indicate that those lines differ between the expected and actual output. If we didn't already know what we did to break it, we might need to look close to see that the lines differ by only a single comma.

For a more interesting "diff", let's work with a function named letters that prints the first N lowercase letters, one per line. Like the examples on slides 136-148, and the street problem on a3, this function directly produces printed output using putStr rather than producing a value that is in turn displayed by ghci. Here's an example of expected behavior:

```
> letters 4
a
b
c
d
```

Here's what the Tester shows with our buggy version, with line numbers added to aid explanation:

```
% a3/tester letters.hs
[...header lines not shown...]
1. Test: 'ulimit -t 2; a3/tesths letters.hs 'letters 4'': FAILED
```

```
2. Differences (expected/actual):
3. *** a3/master/tester.out/letters.out.01 2016-01-28
   12:58:44.406350815 -0700
4. --- tester.out/letters.out.01 2016-01-28 23:25:53.772537760 -0700
5. **********
6. *** 1,6 ****
7.
     *Main> > > "TESTING START"
8. ! > a
9.
     b
10. – c
11.
     d
12.
     > Leaving GHCi.
13. --- 1,8 ----
    *Main> > > "TESTING START"
14.
15. ! >
16. ! a
17. ! x
18.
     b
19.
     d
20. + Done!
21. > Leaving GHCi.
```

We see in line 1 that letters 4 is the Haskell expression that's being tested.

Lines 3 and 4 identify the two files being diffed. Note that line 3 wraps around. Blocks from the expected output will be identified with \*\*\*; blocks from the actual output will be identified with ---.

The exclamation marks on line 8 and lines 15-17 show that those sections apparently correspond to each other but their content differs.

The minus sign on line 10 shows that there's a line in the expected output, with just a "c", that doesn't appear in the actual output.

The plus sign on line 20 shows that there's a line in the actual output, "Done!", that doesn't appear in the expected output.

If we have trouble understanding a diff, it often helps to directly examine the files being diffed. Here's the file with the expected output:

```
% cat a3/master/tester.out/letters.out.01
*Main> >> "TESTING START"
> a
b
c
d
> Leaving GHCi.
```

Here's what was actually output when tested:

```
% cat tester.out/letters.out.01
*Main> >> "TESTING START"
>
a
```

```
x
b
d
Done!
> Leaving GHCi.
```

Of course, instead of looking at the file with the actual output, we could try manually running the exact command the tester ran:

```
% a3/tesths letters.hs 'letters 4'
*Main> >> "TESTING START"
>
a
x
b
d
Done!
> Leaving GHCi.
```

For complex differences you might open the expected and actual files in side-by-side windows in an editor. A simple form of that is provided by vimdiff: (type :q<ENTER> <u>TWICE</u> to get out!)

```
% vimdiff a3/master/tester.out/letters.out.01 tester.out/letters.out.01
```

It's not shown in the examples above but following the diff output is a line showing the names of the files that were diffed:

```
Test: 'ulimit -t 2; a3/tesths letters.hs 'letters 4'': FAILED
Differences (expected/actual):
...
+ Done!
> Leaving GHCi.
<u>Files diffed:</u>
a3/master/tester.out/letters.out.01 tester.out/letters.out.01
```

That's provided so you can select the whole line with multiple clicks, type vimdiff or some other command and then paste both file names onto that line.

pr -mT provides a simple side-by-side display of two files:

```
% pr -mT a3/master/tester.out/letters.out.01 tester.out/letters.out.01
*Main> >> "TESTING START"
> a
b
c
c
d
b
> Leaving GHCi.
Done!
> Leaving GHCi.
```

diff -y, which produces a side-by-side diff, is sometimes useful.

If diff is claiming a difference but the text looks identical, the problem might be trailing whitespace or embedded non-printable characters, like NULs (ASCII code 0). Problems like that can be turned up by piping into cat -A:

```
% a3/tester hello | cat -A
...
```

#### Exceeding the time limit—handled poorly...

A bug in a recursive function can produce infinite recursion. Infinite recursion will cause the test's time limit to be exceeded and the test will be killed. Sadly, the Tester doesn't provide any clear evidence of the time limit being exceeded. Here's what we see for a diff with a version of hello that infinitely recurses:

Note two things: (1) The actual output doesn't end with "Leaving GHCi." (2) diff says there's no newline at the end of the file. The combination of those two things typically indicates the time limit was exceeded.

A good next step is to try the command yourself, without a time limit. Let's try it outside the Tester:

```
% a3/tesths hello.hs 'hello "world"'
...wait a while...give up...hit ^C
```

The time limits set for tests are usually far more than what's needed but in rare cases you may find that your solution is simply slow, and that it does complete when run outside the Tester. If so, let us know. If it's not outrageously slow, we might just bump up the time limit on the test.