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## QuickSort - <br> example of the divide-and-concourse paradigm

- Proposed by C.A.R. Hoare in 1962.
- Sorts "in place" (no need for extra space).

Like insertion sort, but not like merge sort.

- Very practical (with tuning).


## Divide and conquer

Quicksort an $n$-element array:
1.Divide: Partition the array into two subarrays around a pivot $x$ such that elements in lower subarray $\leq x \leq$ elements in upper subarray.

2.Conquer: Recursively sort the two subarrays. $\qquad$
3.Combine: Trivial.

Key: Linear-time partitioning subroutine.


## Example of partitioning

| 6 | 10 | 13 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 11 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ${ }_{i}{ }^{j}$

## Example of partitioning

| 6 | 10 | 13 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 11 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


$\qquad$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Example of partitioning

| 6 | 10 | 13 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 11 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 6 | 5 | 13 | 10 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 11 |
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## Example of partitioning

| 6 | 10 | 13 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 11 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 6 | 5 | 13 | 10 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 11 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 6 | 5 | 3 | 10 | 8 | 13 | 2 | 11 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 6 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 13 | 10 | 11 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 2 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 13 | 10 | 11 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## Pseudocode for quicksort

Quicksort $(A, p, r)$
if $p<r$
then $q \leftarrow \operatorname{PaRtITION}(A, p, r)$
$\operatorname{Quicksort}(A, p, q-1)$
Quicksort $(A, q+1, r)$

Initial call: $\operatorname{Quicksort}(A, 1, n)$

## Analysis of quicksort

- Assume all input elements are distinct.
- In practice, there are better partitioning algorithms for when duplicate input elements may exist.
- Let $T(n)=$ worst-case running time on an array of $n$ elements.


## Worst-case of quicksort

- Input sorted or reverse sorted.
- Partition around min or max element.
- One side of partition always has no elements.
$T(n)=T(0)+T(n-1)+\Theta(n)$
$=\Theta(1)+T(n-1)+\Theta(n)$
$=T(n-1)+\Theta(n)$
$=\Theta\left(n^{2}\right) \quad$ (arithmetic series)


## Worst-case recursion tree

$T(n)=T(0)+T(n-1)+c n$
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## Worst-case recursion tree

$T(n)=T(0)+T(n-1)+c n$
$\xrightarrow[T(0)]{\stackrel{c n}{c(n-1)}} \underset{T(0)}{T(n-2)}$
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## Worst-case recursion tree

$T(n)=T(0)+T(n-1)+c n$


## Best-case and almost best-case analysis

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
If we are lucky, Partition splits the array evenly:

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(n) & =2 T(n / 2)+\Theta(n) \\
& =\Theta(n \lg n) \quad \text { (same as merge sort) }
\end{aligned}
$$

What if the split is always $\frac{1}{10}: \frac{9}{10}$ ? $\qquad$

$$
T(n)=T\left(\frac{1}{10} n\right)+T\left(\frac{9}{10} n\right)+\Theta(n)
$$

What is the solution to this recurrence?
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Analysis of "almost-best" case
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## Analysis of "almost-best" case

$$
c n \log _{10} n \leq T(n) \leq c n \log _{10,9} n+O(n)
$$

$\leq 8 \mathrm{c} \log _{2} \mathrm{n}$
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## Randomized quicksort

How can find a pivot that guarantees partitions with good ratios for A[1..n], ?
We say that $q$ is a good pivot for if

- at least $10 \%$ of the elements of $A[1 . . n]$ are smaller than $q$, and $\qquad$
- at least $10 \%$ of the elements of $A[1 . . n]$ are larger than $q$


Best pivot: Pick the median of $A[1 . . n]$, as pivot.
(median - an element that is larger than half of the elements )
Then the time would obey $T(n)=c n+2 T(n / 2)$
Problem - need to work too hard to find the median (best pivot), so we will do with (only) a good pivot.

## Finding a good pivot for $A[1 . . n]$

5-random-elements method.

- Pick the indices of 5 elements at random from $A[1 . . n]$, $\qquad$
- For $k=1$ to 5
$X[k]=A[n \operatorname{rnd}()]$

- Set $q$ to be the median of $X[1 . .5]$


## Finding a good pivot for $A[1 . . n]$

5-random-elements method. : Pick 5 elements at random from $A[1 . . n]$, and set $q$ to be their median.
What it is the probability that $q$ is not a good pivot?

- Let $S$ be the elements of $A[1 . . n]$ which are the $10 \%$ smallest.
- The probability that an elements picked at random is in $S$ is 0.1 .
- $q$ is in $S$ only if at least 3 of the 5 elements that we pick are in $S$.
- The probability that this happens is

| $0.1^{5}+$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| all in $S$ |$\quad$| $5 \cdot 0.1^{4} \bullet 0.9+$ |
| :---: |
| 4 in $S$, one not in $S$ |
| 0.00001 |$+\quad$| $10 \cdot 0.1^{3} \cdot 0.9^{2}=$ |
| :---: |
| $2 \operatorname{not}$ in $S$ |

- This is also the probability that $q$ is in the $10 \%$ largest elements.
- In other words: with probability $\geq 98 \%, q$ is a good pivot.

```
S: 10% \leqq
```



## Quicksort in practice

- Quicksort is a great general-purpose sorting algorithm.
- Quicksort is typically over twice as fast as merge sort.
- Quicksort behaves well even with caching and virtual memory.


## Median Selection

- (CLRS Section 9.2, page 185)
- For $A[1 . . n]$ (all different elements) we say that the rank of $x$ is $\boldsymbol{i}$ if exactly $\boldsymbol{i}-1$ elements in $A$ are smaller than $x$.
- In particular, the median is the $\lfloor n / 2\rfloor$-smallest.
- To find the median, we could sort and pick $A[\lfloor n / 2\rfloor]$ (taken $\mathrm{O}(n \log n)$ ).
- We can do better.


## Median Selection-cont

## $\operatorname{RS}(A, p, r, i)\{$

$/ /$ Randomize Selection: Returns $i$ 'st smallest element in $A[p . r]$. //Assumption: Input is valid and elements are different.

- If $p==r$ return $\mathrm{A}[p]$
- $q=$ PARTITION $(A, p, r)$;
$\bullet / / P a r t i t i o n ~ u s i n g ~ t h e ~ 5-r a n d o m ~ e l e m e n t ~ m e t h o d ~$
$\qquad$
- $k=q-p$
- If $i==k+1$ return $A[q]$
- If $i<k$ return $\operatorname{RS}(A, p, \quad q-1, i) / /$ Note the difference from QS
- Else return $\operatorname{RS}(A, q+1, r, i-k-1)$
\}



## Time analyis

- Recall: With high probability, we pick a good pivot: - Not in the $10 \%$ smallest or largest:
- Hence, we get rid of at least $10 \%$ of the elements of $A$
- So, $T(n)=c n+T(0.9 n)$.
- $T(n)=c\left(n+0.9 n+0.9^{2} n+0.9^{3} n+\ldots\right)=$ $c n\left(1+0.9+0.9^{2}+0.9^{3}+\ldots\right)=$ $\operatorname{cn}(1 /(1-0.9))=O(n)$.
- So the expected time is linear. (yuppie)

As in the case of QS, partitions which are not good are not harmful, just not helpful.

