CS 445

Shortest Paths in Graphs

Alon Efrat

Slides courtesy of Erik Demaine and Carola Wenk

Paths in graphs

Consider a digraph $G = (V, E)$ with edge-weight function $w : E \to \mathbb{R}$. The ***weight*** of path $p = v_1 \to v_2 \to \ldots \to v_k$ is defined to be

$$w(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} w(v_i, v_{i+1}).$$

Example:



$w(p) = -2$

Shortest paths

A ***shortest path*** from u to v is a path of minimum weight from u to v. The ***shortest-path weight*** from u to v is defined as

$\delta(u, v) = \min\{w(p) : p$ is a path from u to $v\}$.

Also called ***distance*** of ***u*** from ***v***

Note: $\delta(u, v) = \infty$ if no path from u to v exists.

The distance is not always well defined

A cycle with negative weight is called (surprise) a **negative cycle**

The distance between nodes might not be defined in the presence of negative cycles.

Example:



$\delta(v_1, v_5)$=?

Optimal substructure

Theorem. A subpath of a shortest path is a shortest path.

Proof. Cut and paste:



Triangle inequality

Theorem. For all $u, v, x \in V$, we have

$\delta(u, v) \le \delta(u, x) + \delta(x, v)$.

Proof.



□

Note: This does not imply that $w(u,v) \le w(u,x)+w(x,v)$



Priority Queue

key[v] ≤ key[right[v]]
key[v] ≤ key[left[v]]



Insert(x),
Extract_min
Decrease(x,Δ)

All done in O(log n)

BFS algorithm

Given a graph G(V,E) where the cost of each edge is 1.
BFS finds the distance $\delta(s, v)$ from the source s, to every vertex v



A different way to think about BFS alg

Think about the **ripples** in the pool after a puddle was dropped at the **source**.

Every vertex is discovered once the frontier hits it.





The distance $\delta(s, v)$ is the time when the vertex is hit.

A different way to think about Dijkstra Alg

Now the edges have weights. (assume integers)

Again think about the **ripples** in the pool after a puddle was dropped at the **source**.



Dijkstra alg is an efficient way to simulate this process

Modify the Graph

- Replace an edge (s,d) of weight 3 by 3 edges of weight 1.
- Replace an edge *(u,v)* with weight *w(u,v)* by 3 edges of weight 1.
- The distance $\delta(s, v)$ is the time when the vertex is hit.
- Run BFS

Single-source shortest paths

Problem. From a given source vertex $s \in V$, find the shortest-path weights $\delta(s, v)$ for all $v \in V$.

If all edge weights $w(u, v)$ are *nonnegative*, all shortest-path weights must exist. We'll use **Dijkstra**'s algorithm.

IDEA: Greedy.

1. Maintain a set S of vertices whose shortest-path distances from s are known. Also maintain **distance estimates** to the other vertices.
2. At each step add to S the vertex $v \in V - S$ whose distance estimate from s is minimal.
3. Update the distance estimates of vertices adjacent to v.



Internal paths - definition

1. Let S be a set of vertices (that contains s)
2. We say that path p is **internal** to S if all its vertices, excluding maybe the last one, are in S.
3. Distance estimation: The algorithm maintains for every vertex v the value $d[v]$, which is the length of the shortest path from s to v, which is internal to S.
4. Will show: If v is in S, then $d[v] = \delta(s, v)$



Dijkstra's algorithm

$d[s] \leftarrow 0$
for each $v \in V - \{s\}$
  do $d[v] \leftarrow \infty$
$S \leftarrow \emptyset$
$Q \leftarrow V$ ▷ Q is a priority queue maintaining $V - S$, sorted base on $d[\,]$
while $Q \neq \emptyset$
  do $u \leftarrow$ EXTRACT-MIN(Q)
    $S \leftarrow S \cup \{u\}$
    for each $v \in Adj[u]$ /* all nbrs of u */
      do if $d[v] > d[u] + w(u, v)$
        then $d[v] \leftarrow d[u] + w(u, v)$ } *relaxation step*

Implicit DECREASE-KEY

Example of Dijkstra's algorithm

Graph with nonnegative edge weights:



Example of Dijkstra's algorithm

Initialize:



Q:	A	B	C	D	E
	0	∞	∞	∞	∞

S: {}

Example of Dijkstra's algorithm

"*A*" ← EXTRACT-MIN(*Q*):



Q:	*A*	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
	0	∞	∞	∞	∞

S: { *A* }

Example of Dijkstra's algorithm

Relax all edges leaving *A*:



Q:	*A*	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
	0	∞	∞	∞	∞
		10	3	–	–

S: { *A* }

Example of Dijkstra's algorithm

"*C*" ← EXTRACT-MIN(*Q*):



Q:	*A*	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
	0	∞	∞	∞	∞
		10	3	–	–

S: { *A*, *C* }

Example of Dijkstra's algorithm

Relax all edges leaving *C*:



Q:	*A*	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
	0	∞	∞	∞	∞
		10	3	–	–
		7		11	5

S: { *A*, *C* }

Example of Dijkstra's algorithm

"*E*" ← EXTRACT-MIN(*Q*):



Q:	*A*	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
	0	∞	∞	∞	∞
		10	3	–	–
		7		11	5

S: { *A*, *C*, *E* }

Example of Dijkstra's algorithm

Relax all edges leaving *E*:



Q:	*A*	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
	0	∞	∞	∞	∞
		10	3	∞	∞
		7		11	5
		7		11	

S: { *A*, *C*, *E* }

Example of Dijkstra's algorithm

"*B*" ← EXTRACT-MIN(*Q*):



Q:	*A*	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
	0	∞	∞	∞	∞
		10	3	∞	∞
		7		11	5
		7		11	

S: { *A*, *C*, *E*, *B* }

Example of Dijkstra's algorithm

Relax all edges leaving *B*:



Q:	*A*	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
	0	∞	∞	∞	∞
		10	3	∞	∞
		7		11	5
		7		11	
				9	

S: { *A*, *C*, *E*, *B* }

Example of Dijkstra's algorithm

"*D*" ← EXTRACT-MIN(*Q*):



Q:	*A*	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
	0	∞	∞	∞	∞
		10	3	∞	∞
		7		11	5
		7		11	
				9	

S: { *A*, *C*, *E*, *B*, *D* }

Correctness — Part I

Lemma. At any stage of the algorithm, and for every vertex $v \in V$, it is always true that $d[v] \geq \delta(s, v)$.

Proof. It is true after initialization (trivially).
Suppose not. Let v be the first (chronologically) vertex for which $d[v] < \delta(s, v)$, and let u be the vertex that caused $d[v]$ to change: $d[v] = d[u] + w(u, v)$. Then,

$d[v]$	$< \delta(s, v)$	supposition
	$\leq \delta(s, u) + \delta(u, v)$	triangle inequality
	$\leq \delta(s,u) + w(u, v)$	sh. path $\leq$ specific path
	$\leq d[u] + w(u, v)$	v is first violation

Contradiction. □

Handwave: $d[v]$ is the length of **a** path to v, while $\delta(s,v)$ is the length of **the shortest** path to v.

More accurately. $d[v]$ is the length of shortest internal path.

Correctness — Part II

Theorem. When the algorithm terminates, $d[v] = \delta(s, v)$, $\forall v \in V$.

Proof. It suffices to show that $d[v] = \delta(s, v)$, when v is added to S.

- Suppose u is the first vertex added to S for which $d[u] > \delta(s, u)$.
  - Recall: $d[u] \geq \delta(s, u)$ always.

- Let y be the first vertex in $V - S$ along a shortest path from s to u, and let x be its predecessor:



Correctness — Part II (continued)



Assumption: $d[u] > \delta(s, u)$.

- Since u is the first vertex violating the claimed invariant, $d[x] = \delta(s, x)$.
- Since subpaths of shortest paths are shortest paths, $\delta(s, y) = \delta(s, x) + w(x, y)$

- When x joined S, we perform a relaxation step: $d[y] = \min\{d[y], d[x]+w(x,y)\}$ so $d[y] = \delta(s, y)$
- If u is y we are done. So assume u is **not** y.

- We have $d[y] = \delta(s, y) \leq \delta(s, u) < d[u]$. But, $d[u] \leq d[y]$ by our choice of u, a contradiction. □

Analysis of Dijkstra

```
|V| times:
    while Q ≠ ∅
        do u ← EXTRACT-MIN(Q)
           S ← S ∪ {u}
           degree(u) times:
               for each v ∈ Adj[u]
                   do if d[v] > d[u] + w(u, v)
                         then d[v] ← d[u] + w(u, v)
```

Handshaking Lemma $\Rightarrow \Theta(E)$ implicit DECREASE_KEY's.

Time = $\Theta(V) \cdot T_{\text{EXTRACT-MIN}} + \Theta(E) \cdot T_{\text{DECREASE-KEY}}$

Analysis of Dijkstra (continued)

Time = $\Theta(V) \cdot T_{\text{EXTRACT-MIN}} + \Theta(E) \cdot T_{\text{DECREASE-KEY}}$

Q	$T_{\text{EXTRACT-MIN}}$	$T_{\text{DECREASE-KEY}}$	Total
array	$O(V)$	$O(1)$	$O(V^2)$
binary heap	$O(\lg V)$	$O(\lg V)$	$O(E \lg V)$
Fibonacci heap	$O(\lg V)$ amortized	$O(1)$ amortized	$O(E + V \lg V)$ worst case

How to find the actual shortest paths?

Store a predecessor tree:

```
d[s] ← 0 ;
for each v ∈ V  π[v] ← NULL
for each v ∈ V – {s}
    do d[v] ← ∞
S ← ∅
Q ← V  ▷ Q is a priority queue maintaining V – S
while Q ≠ ∅
    do u ← EXTRACT-MIN(Q)
       S ← S ∪ {u}
       for each v ∈ Adj[u]
           do if d[v] > d[u] + w(u, v)
                 then d[v] ← d[u] + w(u, v)
                      π[v] ← u //Producing edges of
                               // the shortest paths tree
```

Convince yourself that indeed the edges $\{(v_i, \pi[v_i]) \mid v_i \text{ in } V\}$ Form a tree. What is its root ? Why are there no cycles ?

Example of Dijkstra's algorithm

Graph with nonnegative edge weights:



Example of Dijkstra's algorithm

Initialize:



Q:	A	B	C	D	E
	0	∞	∞	∞	∞

S: {}

Example of Dijkstra's algorithm

"A" ← EXTRACT-MIN(Q):



Q:	A	B	C	D	E
	0	∞	∞	∞	∞

S: { A }

π:	A	B	C	D	E
	–	–	–	–	–

Example of Dijkstra's algorithm

Relax all edges leaving *A*:



Q:	*A*	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
	0	∞	∞	∞	∞
		10	3	–	–

S: { *A* }

π:	*A*	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
	–	A	A	–	-

Example of Dijkstra's algorithm

"*C*" ← EXTRACT-MIN(*Q*):



Q:	*A*	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
	0	∞	∞	∞	∞
		10	3	–	–

S: { *A*, *C* }

π:	*A*	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
	–	A	A	–	-

Example of Dijkstra's algorithm

Relax all edges leaving *C*:



Q:	*A*	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
	0	∞	∞	∞	∞
		10	3	–	–
		7		11	5

S: { *A*, *C* }

π:	*A*	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
	–	C	A	C	C

Example of Dijkstra's algorithm

"*E*" ← EXTRACT-MIN(*Q*):



Q:	*A*	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
	0	∞	∞	∞	∞
		10	3	–	–
		7		11	5

S: { *A*, *C*, *E* }

π:	*A*	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
	–	C	A	C	C

Example of Dijkstra's algorithm

Relax all edges leaving *E*:



Q:	*A*	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
	0	∞	∞	∞	∞
		10	3	∞	∞
		7		11	5
		7		11	

S: { *A*, *C*, *E* }

π:	*A*	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
	–	C	A	C	C

Example of Dijkstra's algorithm

"*B*" ← EXTRACT-MIN(*Q*):



Q:	*A*	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
	0	∞	∞	∞	∞
		10	3	∞	∞
		7		11	5
		7		11	

S: { *A*, *C*, *E*, *B* }

π:	*A*	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
	–	C	A	C	C

Example of Dijkstra's algorithm

Relax all edges leaving *B*:



Q:	*A*	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
	0	∞	∞	∞	∞
		10	3	∞	∞
		7		11	5
		7		11	
				9	

S: { *A*, *C*, *E*, *B* }

π:	*A*	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
	–	C	A	B	C

Example of Dijkstra's algorithm

"D" ← EXTRACT-MIN(*Q*)**:**



Q:	*A*	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
	0	∞	∞	∞	∞
		10	3	∞	∞
		7		11	5
		7		11	
				9	

S: { *A*, *C*, *E*, *B*, *D* }

π:	*A*	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
	–	C	A	B	C

Unweighted graphs

Suppose $w(u, v) = 1$ for all $(u, v) \in E$.

- Use a simple FIFO queue instead of a priority queue. Here $d[v]$ is an estimation to the distance
- ***Breadth-first search***

$d[s] = 0$. for all $v \in V\text{-}s$, $d[v] = \infty$

ENQUEUE(Q, s)
while $Q \neq \emptyset$
  do $u \leftarrow$ DEQUEUE(Q)
    for each $v \in Adj[u]$ //scan the nbrs of u
      do if $d[v] = \infty$ //if not seen before
        then $d[v] \leftarrow d[u] + 1$
          ENQUEUE(Q, v)

Analysis: Time = $O(V + E)$.

Example of breadth-first search



Q:

Example of breadth-first search



0
Q: *a*

Example of breadth-first search



1 1
Q: *a b d*

Example of breadth-first search



Q: *a* *b* *d* *c* *e*

Example of breadth-first search



Q: *a* *b* *d* *c* *e*

Example of breadth-first search



Q: *a* *b* *d* *c* *e*

Example of breadth-first search



3 3

Q: *a b d c e g i*

Example of breadth-first search



3 4

Q: *a b d c e g i f*

Example of breadth-first search



4 4

Q: *a b d c e g i f h*

Example of breadth-first search



Q: *a b d c e g i f h*

Example of breadth-first search



Q: *a b d c e g i f h*

Example of breadth-first search



Q: *a b d c e g i f h*