

DUE: Tue 8 April 2008**Reading**

Check course web page for reading assignments.

Problems**1. LOOP \rightarrow Primitive Computable**

Prove that every function computed by a *LOOP* program is primitive computable. *HINT:* Use induction on the structure of a *LOOP* program. Be sure to allow for both loop nesting (which increases depth) and concatenation (;) of loop programs of the same or different depths. It will be technically convenient to allow *LOOP* programs to take multiple arguments (have input set I larger than 1) and to return multiple arguments (have output set O larger than 1).

2. Primitive Computable \rightarrow LOOP

Prove that every primitive computable function is computed by a *LOOP* program. *HINT:* Every member of **Pr** has a signature.

3. Non-Repeating Enumeration

Prove that a language L is computably enumerable if and only if there is a TM that enumerates L *without ever repeating* an element of the language.

4. A Reduction

Text, Homework 3.26. Since $11 \in L$ is a property of languages, Rice's Theorem says that this question is undecidable. However, this question asks you to provide the details of a specific reduction that shows that this problem is undecidable.

Call the language that is to be shown undecidable L_{11} . This language is the index set $L_{11} = \{e \mid 11 \in L(M_e)\}$. You are asked to actually perform the reduction in detail. Denote a (hypothetical) decider for L_{11} as M_{11} .

- State the reduction $X \leq_m L_{11}$ that you plan to use, and sketch the diagram of the reduction. Show a dotted box that is a decider for X , containing a solid box for M_{11} . Diagram the inputs to each box and their types (string, character, etc.)
- Show by diagram the TM that results from applying the reduction function c to the inputs to the dotted box for X . This TM will be called $M_{c(\dots)}$.
- State the property that $M_{c(\dots)}$ exhibits that relates it to the decision question for X . In other words, show that $w \in X \Leftrightarrow c(\dots) \in L(M_{11})$.
- Complete the argument that $X \leq_m L_{11}$, using parts (a) and (c).

5. Undecidable or Decidable?

Consider the following languages. All of them are "machine-dependent", so that Rice's Theorem will not apply. For each language, say whether it is decidable or undecidable, and prove your assertion.

- $L_a = \{ (e, w, p) \mid M_e \text{ reaches state } p \text{ on input } w \text{ starting from } q_0 \}$
- $L_b = \{ (e, p) \mid M_e \text{ has a configuration } [\alpha q \beta] \text{ yielding, in one or more steps, a configuration containing state } p \}$
- $L_c = \{ (e, \mathbf{a}) \mid M_e \text{ writes character } \mathbf{a} \text{ when started on the empty tape} \}$
- $L_d = \{ e \mid M_e \text{ writes a non-blank character when started on the empty tape} \}$

- (e) $L_e = \{ (e, w) \mid M_e \text{ eventually moves its head left when started on } w \}$
- (f) $L_f = \{ (e, w) \mid (\exists k) M_e \text{ visits at most } k \text{ cells on input } w \}$. *NOTE:* The language $L = \{ (e, w, k) \mid M_e \text{ visits at most } k \text{ cells on input } w \}$ is **decidable** (Why?). The current problem asks what happens when you prefix this predicate by $\exists k$, so that k is not an input parameter.

6. Egotistical Interpreter

Show that there is a partial computable function ϕ_n such that

$$\forall y \phi_n(y) = \phi_y(n) .$$

Thus program n when given an input y , mimics program y when run on n 's own name.

7. "Decidable" decidable?

Is it decidable whether or not a given language is decidable? That is, given a TM M_e , is it decidable whether or not $L(M_e)$ is decidable?

- (a) Precisely define, in terms of an index set, the question at issue in (a). *HINT:* $L_{decidable} = \{ e \mid \dots \}$.
- (b) State your finding on whether or not the language (index set) $L_{decidable}$ is decidable or undecidable.
- (c) Prove your assertion in (b).