

### Toward Mobile Cloud Computing: Data Analysis with Location-Based Social Network

### Huan Liu

Joint Work with Huiji Gao and Jiliang Tang



**Data Mining and Machine Learning Lab** 



### Location-Based Social Networks (LBSNs)







### A Location-Based Social Network Framework







### **Essential Data from LBSN**



- Check-in history with time stamps
- Social networks derived from checkin locations
- User generated contents
- Interdependency of social networks and locations





### **Distinct Properties of LBSN Data**



- Large-Scale Mobile Data
- Accurate Location Descriptions
- Explicit Social Friendships
- Significant Sparsity of Data





### **Research Opportunities**



- Study a user's mobile behavior through both real and virtual worlds in spatial, temporal and social dimensions.
- Understand the role of social networks and geographical properties with large amounts of heterogeneous data
- Improve the development of locationbased services such as mobile marketing, disaster relief, traffic forecasting, and etc.

Mobile cloud computing





# Some Challenges



- How to study human mobile behavior from high dimensional data from heterogeneous sources
- How to deduce human movement through sparse check-in data
- How to design location-based services to improve user's experience without sacrificing one's privacy





# **Potential Applications**



- > Disaster Relief/Crisis Response
- Mobile Search/Recommendation
- Location Prediction

- Recommendation Systems
- Mobile Community Detection
- Location Privacy Protection
- Mobile Marketing





# Some of Our Recent Findings

- Social-Historical Ties on Location-Based Social Networks (ICWSM'2012)
  - Are two types of ties equally important?
- Geo-Social Correlation (CIKM'2012)
  - Handling the Cold Start Problem
- Mobile Location Prediction in Spatio-Temporal Context in Next Location Prediction in 2012 Nokia Mobile Data Challenge Workshop, 3<sup>rd</sup> Prize
  - Together is better





### **Exploring Social-Historical on Location-Based Social Networks**







### Social-Historical Effect of Online Check-ins







### Why is the prediction hard



Power-law distribution



(b) Power-law distribution of individual check-ins





### **Analyzing User's Historical Ties**

### Short Term Effect

- The historical tie strength decreases over time.
- The historical ties of the previous check-ins at airport, shuttle stop, hotel and restaurant have different strengths to the latest check-in of drinking coffee.







### **Modeling User's Historical Ties**

### **Correspondences between language and LBSN modeling**

Language Modeling		LBSN Modeling		
Corpus		Check-in collection		
Document		Individual check-ins		
	Paragraph		Monthly check-in sequence	
Document	Sentence	Check-in	Weekly check-in sequence	
Structure	Phrase	Structure	Daily check-in sequence	
	Word		Check-in location	

Power-law distribution
Short Term Effect

HPY (Hierarchical Pitman-Yor) Language Model





### **Modeling User's Social Ties**



- Social Ties
- Common Check-ins

	Common check-ins
between friends	11.8306
between strangers	4.3226

### Check-in Similarities

Users with friendship have higher check-in similarity than those without. Null hypothesis  $H_{10}: S_{1F} \leq S_{1R}$ , rejected at significant level  $\alpha = 0.001$  with p-value of 2.6e-6.

Friend Similarity

HPY

Friends' Check-in Sequence

**Social Model** 

$$p_{SH}^{i}(c_{n+1} = l) = \eta P_{H}^{i}(c_{n+1} = l) + (1 - \eta)P_{S}^{i}(c_{n+1} = l)$$





### **Experiment Results for Location Prediction**

Experiment Results > MFC Most Frequent Check-in Model > MFT Most Frequent Time Model > Order-1 Order-1 Markov Model > Order-2 Order-2 Markov Model > HM **Historical Model** > SHM Social-Historical Model







### Social-historical Tie Effect w.r.t. η

> When no historical information is considered, the prediction performs worst, suggesting that considering social information only is not enough to capture the check-in behavior.

> By gradually adding the historical information, the performance shows the following pattern: first increasing, reaching its peak value and then decreasing. Most of the time, the best performance is achieved at around  $\eta = 0.7$ . A big weight is given to historical ties, indicating that historical ties are more important than social ties.



ARIZONA STATE



# **Predicting New Check-Ins**







# **Motivation**







# **Geo-Social Correlations**







### **Modeling Geo-Social Correlations**

 $\geq P_u^t(l)$ : the probability of a user *u* checking-in at a new location *l* at time *t* 

$$P_{u}^{t}(l) = \Phi_{1}P_{u}^{t}(l|S_{\bar{F}\bar{D}}) + \Phi_{2}P_{u}^{t}(l|S_{F\bar{D}}) + \Phi_{3}P_{u}^{t}(l|S_{FD}) + \Phi_{4}P_{u}^{t}(l|S_{\bar{F}D}).$$
Geo-Social Correlation Strength



$$\Phi_{1} = f(\mathbf{w}^{T}\mathbf{f}_{u}^{t} + b), \ 0 \le \Phi_{1} \le 1$$
  
$$\Phi_{2} = (1 - \Phi_{1})\phi_{1}$$
  
$$\Phi_{3} = (1 - \Phi_{1})(1 - \phi_{1})\phi_{2}$$
  
$$\Phi_{4} = (1 - \Phi_{1})(1 - \phi_{1})(1 - \phi_{2})$$





### **Modeling Geo-Social Correlations**

 $> P_u^t(l)$ : the probability of a user *u* checking-in at a new location *l* at time *t* 

$$P_{u}^{t}(l) = \Phi_{1}P_{u}^{t}(l|S_{\bar{F}\bar{D}}) + \Phi_{2}P_{u}^{t}(l|S_{F\bar{D}}) + \Phi_{3}P_{u}^{t}(l|S_{FD}) + \Phi_{4}P_{u}^{t}(l|S_{\bar{F}D}).$$

**Geo-Social Correlation Probability** 

#### > **Geo-Social Correlation Probability Measures:**

1. Sim-Location Frequency (S.Lf) 2. Sim-User Frequency (S.Uf)  $\sum s(u, v) N^t(l)$  $\frac{\sum_{v \in \mathcal{S}_x} \delta_v^t(l) s(u, v)}{\sum_{v \in \mathcal{S}_x} s(u, v)}$  $P_u^t(l|\mathcal{S}_x)$ 

$$= \frac{\sum_{v \in \mathcal{S}_x} s(u, v) N_v^{t}}{\sum_{v \in \mathcal{S}_x} s(u, v) N_v^t} \qquad P_u^t(l|\mathcal{S}_x) = \frac{\sum_v}{\sum_{v \in \mathcal{S}_x} s(u, v) N_v^t}$$

3. Sim-Location Frequency & User Frequency (S.Lf.Uf)

$$P_u^t(l|\mathcal{S}_x) = \frac{\sum_{v \in \mathcal{S}_x} s(u, v) N_v^t(l)}{\sum_{v \in \mathcal{S}_x} s(u, v) N_v^t} \frac{\sum_{v \in \mathcal{S}_x} \delta_v^t(l)}{N_{\mathcal{S}_x}}$$





### Dataset

### Foursquare Dataset

Table 2: Statistical information of the dataset

Duration	Jan 1, 2011-July 31, 2011
No. of user	11,326
No. of check-ins	1,385,223
No. of unique locations	182,968
No. of links	47,164
No. of links	47,164

#### Table 3: Statistical information of the July data

Social Circle	No. of SCCs	Ratio
$S_{\overline{F}\overline{D}}$	34,523	44.50%
$S_{F\overline{D}}$	5,636	7.26%
S <sub>FD</sub>	3,588	4.62%
$S_{\overline{F}D}$	39,423	50.82%
Others	1,672	2.2%
$S_{\overline{F}\overline{D}} \cup S_{F\overline{D}}$	35,277	45.47%
$S_{\overline{F}\overline{D}} \cup S_{FD}$	35,784	46.12%
$S_{F\overline{D}} \cup S_{FD}$	8,235	10.61%
$S_{\overline{F}\overline{D}} \cup S_{F\overline{D}} \cup S_{FD}$	36,486	47.03%



(a) The user distribution over the world.



(b) The user distribution over USA.





### **Experiments**



### Location Prediction Evaluation Metrics

	Single Measure	Various Measures
Equal Strength	EsSm	EsVm
Random Strength	RsSm	RsVm
Various Strength	VsSm	gSCorr

### Effect of Geo-Social Correlation Strength and Probability Measures

Methods	Top-1	Top-2	Top-3
EsVm	17.88%	24.06%	27.86%
EsSm	16.20%	21.92%	25.43%
VsSm	16.49%	22.28%	25.92%
RsSm	14.93%	20.30%	23.70%
RsVm	15.23%	20.85%	24.50%
gSCorr	19.21%	25.19%	28.69%





### **Experiments**

E

### Effect of Different Geo-Social Circles

Methods	Top-1	Top-2	Тор-3
シンド	6.51%	8.31%	9.32%
	3.65%	4.75%	5.34%
E	18.37%	24.10%	27.34%
H	18.62%	24.44%	27.79%
N N	19.01%	24.95%	28.35%
	8.33%	10.79%	12.23%
1/23/F	19.21%	25.19%	28.69%





### Mobile Location Prediction in Spatio-Temporal Context







### **Problem Statement**

The probability of checking in at location / given the check-in time at t and latest check-in

$$p(v_{i} = l | t_{i} = t, v_{i-1} = l_{k})$$
  
= 
$$p(t_{i} = t | v_{i} = l) p(v_{i} = l | v_{i-1} = l_{k})$$

### **Temporal Constraint**

The probability of the i-th visit happening at time t, observing that the i-th visit location is l.

# **Spatial Prior**

The probability of next visit at location I given the current visit at I<sub>k</sub>

**Historical Model** 





### **Temporal Constraint**

5 10

Temporal Constraint:

$$p(t_i = t | v_i = l)$$

$$= p(h_i = h, d_i = d | v_i = l)$$

$$= p(h_i = h | v_i = l) p(d_i = d | v_i = l)$$
Hourly Constraint
Daily Constraint
h: Hour of the day, i.e., 10:00am, 3:00pm
d: Day of the week is a Monday Sunday

d: Day of the week, i.e., Monday, Sunday





### **Temporal Constraint**







### **Temporal Constraint**

### Curve Fitting:









### **Location Prediction**

5 1

Probability of visiting location I at time t with the latest visit at Ik

$$p(v_{i} = l | t_{i} = t, v_{i-1} = l_{k})$$

$$= p(v_{i} = l | v_{i-1} = l_{k})p(h_{i} = h | v_{i} = l)p(d_{i} = d | v_{i} = l)$$

$$= p(v_{i} = l | v_{i-1} = l_{k})N_{l}(h | \mu_{h}, \sigma_{h}^{2})N_{l}(d | \mu_{d}, \sigma_{d}^{2})$$
HPY Prior Gaussian Gaussian  
HPY Prior Hour-Day Model (HPHD)





### **Experiments – Together is Better**



Table 1: Location Prediction Results				
	Models	Correct No.	Accuracy	
	MFV	1148	0.3402	
Spatial-based	OMM	1466	0.4345	
spatial-based	$\mathbf{FMM}$	1583	0.4692	
	HP	1610	0.4772	
Temporal-based	MFH	1462	0.4333	
	MFD	1156	0.3426	
	MFHD	1538	0.4558	
Spatio-temporal	HPH	1680	0.4979	
	HPD	1583	0.4692	
	HPHD	1705	0.5053	

Rank 3<sup>rd</sup> among 21 participated teams in Nokia Mobile Competition





# Some of Our Recent Findings

- Social-Historical Ties on Location-Based Social Networks (ICWSM'2012)
  - Are two types of ties equally important?
- Geo-Social Correlation (CIKM'2012)
  - Handling the Cold Start Problem
- Mobile Location Prediction in Spatio-Temporal Context in Next Location Prediction in 2012 Nokia Mobile Data Challenge Workshop, 3<sup>rd</sup> Prize
  - Together is better







# **Acknowledgments:** The projects are, in part, sponsored by ONR grants.

# THANK YOU



