#### CSc 372

Comparative Programming Languages

40 : Scheme — Metacircular Interpretation

Department of Computer Science University of Arizona

collberg@gmail.com

Copyright © 2013 Christian Collberg

#### Introduction

- In this lecture I'm going to show how you can define Scheme by writing a metacircular interpreter for the language, i.e. an interpreter for Scheme written in Scheme.
- Before we can do that, we first need to learn a few more this about the language

### Let Expressions

• A let-expression binds names to values:

```
(let ((name<sub>1</sub> value<sub>1</sub>) (name<sub>2</sub> value<sub>2</sub>) ...)
    expression)
```

• The first argument to let is a list of (name value) pairs. The second argument is the expression to evaluate.

### Let Expressions...

• Let-expressions can be nested:

### Imperative Features

- Scheme is an impure functional language.
- I.e., Scheme has imperative features.
- I.e., in Scheme it is possible to program with side-effects.
- (set! var value) Change the value of var to value.
- (set-car! var value) Change the car-field of the cons-cell var to value.
- (set-cdr! var value) Change the cdr-field of the cons-cell var to value.

## Imperative Features. . .

#### • Example:

```
> (let ((x 2) (l '(a b)))
    (set! x 3)
    (set-car! l '(c d))
    (set-cdr! l '(e))
    (display x) (newline)
    (display l) (newline))
3
((c d) e)
```

#### **Dotted Pairs**

- S-expressions are constructed using dotted pairs.
- It is implemented as a struct (called a cons-cell) consisting of two fields (the size of a machine word) called car and cdr.
- We can manipulate these fields directly:

```
> '(1 . 2)
(1 . 2)
> (cons "stacy's" "mom")
("stacy's" . "mom")
> '(1 . (2 . 3))
(1 2 . 3)
> (cons 1 2)
(1 . 2)
```

### Dotted Pairs...

 When the second part of a dottend pair (the cdr-field) is a list, and the innermost cdr-field is the empty list, we get a "normal" Scheme list:

```
> '(1 . ())
(1)
> '(1 . (2 . ()))
(1 2)
> '(1 . (2 3))
(1 2 3)
```

#### Dotted Pairs...

 We can use set-car! and set-cdr! to manipulate the fields of a cons-cell directly:

```
> (define x '(1 . 2))
> (set-car! x 'a)
> x
(a . 2)
> (set-cdr! x '(2 3))
> x
(a 2 3)
```

### Dotted Pairs...

 (cons A B) can be thought of as first creating a cons-cell on the heap (using malloc, for example), and then setting the car and cdr fields to A and B, respectively:

```
> (define x (cons 0 0))
> x
(0 . 0)
> (set-car! x '1)
> (set-cdr! x '())
> x
(1)
```

### Loops

Scheme's "for-loop" do takes these arguments:

- A list of triples (var init update) which declares a variable var, with an initial value init, and which gets updated using the expression update, on each iteration;
- A pair (termination\_cond return\_value) which gives the termination condition and return value of the loop; and
- a loop body:

# Loops. . .

• Sum the numbers 1 to 4, printing out intermediate results:

```
> (do ((i 1 (+ i 1))
   (sum 0 (+ sum i)))
   ((= i 5) sum)
   (display sum)
   (newline)
3
6
10
```

#### **Association Lists**

 Association lists are simply lists of key-value pairs that can be searched sequentially:

```
> (assoc 'bob '((bob 22) (joe 32) (bob 3)))
(bob 22)
```

• The list is searchedy the list from beginning to end, returning the first pair with a matching key:

```
(assoc key alist) Search for key; compare using equal?.
(assq key alist) Search for key; compare using eq?.
(assv key alist) Search for key; compare using eqv?.
```

#### Association Lists...

```
> (define e '((a 1) (b 2) (c 3)))
> (assq 'a e)
(a 1)
> (assq 'b e)
(b 2)
> (assq 'd e)
#f
> (assq (list 'a) '(((a)) ((b)) ((c))))
#f
> (assoc (list 'a) '(((a)) ((b)) ((c))))
((a))
> (assv 5 '((2 3) (5 7) (11 13)))
(57)
```

#### Association Lists...

• We can actually have more than one value:

# **Apply**

 Apply returns the result of applying its first argument to its second argument.

```
> (apply + '(6 7))
13
> (apply max '(2 5 1 7))
7
```

#### Eval

• (eval arg) evaluates its argument.

```
> (eval '(+ 4 5))
9
> (eval '(cons 'a '(b c))) (a b c)
```

#### Eval...

• eval and quote are each other's inverses:

```
> (eval ''(+ 4 5))
(+ 4 5)
> (eval (eval ''(+ 4 5)))
9
> (eval (eval (eval '''(+ 4 5))))
9
```

### Programs as Data

- Scheme is homoiconic, self-representing, i.e. programs and data are both represented the same (as S-expressions).
- This allows us to write programs that generate programs useful in AI, for example.

```
> (define x 'car)
> (define y ''(a b c))
> (define p (list x y))
> p
(car '(a b c))
> (eval p)
a
```

#### **Evaluation Order**

- So far, we have said that to evaluate an expression
   (op arg1 arg2 arg3) we first evaluate the arguments, then
   apply the operator op to the resulting values.
- This is known as applicative-order evaluation.
- Example:

```
(define (double x) (* x x))

> (double (* 3 4))

⇒ (double 12)

⇒ (+ 12 12)

⇒ 24
```

#### Evaluation Order. . .

- This is not the only possible order of evaluation
- In normal-order evaluation parameters to a function are always passed unevaluated.
- This sometimes leads to extra work:

```
(define (double x) (* x x))
> (double (* 3 4))
    ⇒ (+ (* 3 4) (* 3 4)))
    ⇒ (+ 12 (* 3 4))
    ⇒ (+ 12 12)
    ⇒ 24
```

#### Evaluation Order. . .

 Applicative-order can sometimes also lead to more work than normal-order:

```
(define (switch x a b c)
  (cond
        ((< x 0) a)
        ((= x 0) b)
        ((> x 0) c)))
> (switch -1 (+ 1 2) (+ 2 3) (+ 3 4))
```

 Here, applicative-order evaluates all the arguments, although only one value will ever be needed.

#### Evaluation Order. . .

- Ordinary Scheme functions (such as +, car, etc) use applicative-order evaluation.
- Some special forms (cond, if, etc) must use normal order since they need to consume their arguments unevaluated:

- One way to define the semantics of a language (the effects that programs written in the language will have), is to write a metacircular interpreter.
- I.e, we define the language by writing an interpreter for it, in the language itself.
- A metacircular interpreter for Scheme consists of two mutually recursive functions, mEval and mApply:

```
(define (mEval Expr)
    ...
)
(define (mApply Op Args)
    ...
)
```

• We want to be able to call our interpreter like this:

```
> (mEval (+ 1 2))
3
> (mEval (+ 1 (* 3 4)))
13
> (mEval (quote (2 3)))
(2 3)
> (mEval (car (quote (1 2))))
1
```

```
> (mEval (cdr (quote (1 2))))
(2)
> (mEval (cons (quote 5) (quote (1 2))))
(5 1 2)
> (mEval (null? (quote (1 2))))
#f
> (mEval (null? (quote ())))
#t
> (mEval (if (eq? 1 1) 5 6))
5
```

- mEval handles primitive special forms (lambda, if, const, define, quote, etc), itself.
- Note that, for these forms, we must use normal-order evaluation.
- For other expressions, mEval evaluates all arguments and calls mApply to perform the required operation:

```
(define (mEval Expr)
   (cond
      [(null? Expr) '()]
      [(number? Expr) Expr]
      [(eq? (car Expr) 'if)
         (mEvalIf (cadr Expr)
                  (caddr Expr)
                  (cadddr Expr))]
      [(eq? (car Expr) 'quote) (cadr Expr)]
      [else (mApply (car Expr)
                  (mEvalList (cdr Expr)))]
```

 mApply checks if the operation is one of the builtin primitive ones, and if so performs the required operation:

```
(define (mApply Op Args)
   (case Op
      [(car) (caar Args)]
      [(cdr) (cdar Args)]
      [(cons) (cons (car Args) (cadr Args))]
      [(eq?) (eq? (car Args) (cadr Args))]
      [(null?) (null? (car Args))]
      [(+) (+ (car Args) (cadr Args))]
      [(*) (* (car Args) (cadr Args))]
```

Some auxiliary functions:

```
(define (mEvalIf b t e)
  (if (mEval b) (mEval t) (mEval e))
(define (mEvalList List)
  (cond
      [(null? List) '()]
      [else (cons (mEval (car List))
            (mEvalList (cdr List)))]
```

- Note that this little interpreter lacks many of Scheme's functions.
- We don't have symbols, lambda, define.
- We can't define or invoke user-defined functions.
- There are no way to define or lookup variables, local or global.
   To do that, mEval and mApply pass around environments
   (association lists) of variable/value pairs.

## Readings and References

• Read Scott, pp. 592–606, 609-610