#### Sometimes it is more natural to use an infix notation for **CSc 520** a function application, rather than the normal prefix one: ● 5 + 6 (infix) **Principles of Programming** ● (+) 5 6 (prefix) Languages Haskell predeclares some infix operators in the standard prelude, such as those for arithmetic. 15: Haskell — Curried Functions For each operator we need to specify its precedence and associativity. The higher precedence of an Christian Collberg operator, the stronger it binds (attracts) its arguments: collberg@cs.arizona.edu hence: Department of Computer Science $3 + 5*4 \equiv 3 + (5*4)$ University of Arizona $3 + 5*4 \neq (3 + 5) * 4$ Copyright © 2004 Christian Collberg -Spring 2005-15 [1] 520—Spring 2005—15 [2]

## **Declaring Infix Functions...**

 The associativity of an operator describes how it binds when combined with operators of equal precedence. So, is

```
5-3+9 \equiv (5-3)+9 = 11
OR
5-3+9 \equiv 5-(3+9) = -7
```

The answer is that + and – associate to the left, i.e. parentheses are inserted from the left.

- Some operators are right associative:  $5^3^2 \equiv 5^{(3^2)}$
- Some operators have free (or no) associativity. Combining operators with free associativity is an error:

```
5 == 4 < 3
```

 $\Rightarrow$  ERROR

#### -Spring 2005-15

[3]

### **Declaring Infix Functions...**

**Declaring Infix Functions** 

• The syntax for declaring operators:

infixr <mark>prec</mark> oper	right assoc.
infixl prec oper	left assoc.
infix <mark>prec oper</mark>	free assoc.

### From the standard prelude:

infix1 7 \*
infix 7 /, `div`, `rem`, `mod`
infix 4 ==, /=, <, <=, >=, >

An infix function can be used in a prefix function application, by including it in parenthesis. Example:

```
? (+) 5 ((*) 6 4)
29
```

### **Multi-Argument Functions**

- Haskell only supports one-argument functions.
- An *n*-argument function  $f(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  is constructed in either of two ways:
  - 1. By making the one input argument to f a tuple holding the n arguments.
  - 2. By letting f "consume" one argument at a time. This is called currying.

TupleCurryingadd :: (Int,Int)->Intadd :: Int->Int->Intadd (a, b) = a + badd a b = a + b	<pre>(+) :: Int -&gt; (Int -&gt; Int). </pre> If we give two arguments to (+) it will return an Int: (+) 5 3 ⇒ 8	
—Spring 2005—15 [5]	520—Spring 2005—15 [6]	
Currying	Currying	
<ul> <li>If we just give one argument (5) to (+) it will instead return a function which "adds 5 to things". The type of this specialized version of (+) is Int -&gt; Int.</li> <li>Internally, Haskell constructs an intermediate - specialized - function: <ul> <li>add5 :: Int -&gt; Int</li> <li>add5 a = 5 + a</li> </ul> </li> <li>Hence, (+) 5 3 is evaluated in two steps. First (+) 5 is evaluated. It returns a function which adds 5 to its argument. We apply the second argument 3 to this new function, and the result 8 is returned.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>To summarize, Haskell only supports one-argument functions. Multi-argument functions are constructed by successive application of arguments, one at a time.</li> <li>Currying is named after logician Haskell B. Curry (1900-1982) who popularized it. It was invented by Schönfinkel in 1924. Schönfinkeling doesn't sound too good</li> <li>Note: Function application (f x) has higher precedence (10) than any other operator. Example: f 5 + 1 ⇔ (f 5) + 1 f 5 6 ⇔ (f 5) 6</li> </ul>	

### Currying

The main advantage of currying is that it allows us to define specialized versions of an existing function.

A function is specialized by supplying values for one or

● Let's look at Haskell's plus operator (+). It has the type

Currying is the preferred way of constructing

more (but not all) of its arguments.

multi-argument functions.

### **Currying Example Currying Example...** ● Let's see what happens when we evaluate f 3 4 5, (f 3) returns a function f' y z (f') is a specialization where f is a 3-argument function that returns the sum of f) that adds 3 to its next two arguments. of its arguments. $f 3 4 5 \equiv ((f 3) 4) 5 \Rightarrow (f' 4) 5$ f :: Int -> (Int -> (Int -> Int)) f x y z = x + y + zf' :: Int -> (Int -> Int) f' y z = 3 + y + z $f 3 4 5 \equiv ((f 3) 4) 5$ -Spring 2005-15 [9] 520—Spring 2005—15 [10] **Currying Example... Currying Example 9** $(f' 4) (\equiv (f 3) 4)$ returns a function f'' z (f'' is aThe Combinatorial Function: specialization of f') that adds (3+4) to its argument. • The combinatorial function $\binom{n}{r}$ "in choose r", computes the number of ways to pick r objects from n. f 3 4 5 $\equiv$ ((f 3) 4) 5 $\Rightarrow$ (f' 4) 5 $\Rightarrow$ f'' 5 $\left(\begin{array}{c}n\\r\end{array}\right) = \frac{n!}{r!*(n-r)!}$ f'' :: Int -> Int f'' z = 3 + 4 + zIn Haskell: Finally, we can apply f ' ' to the last argument (5) and comb :: Int -> Int -> Int get the result: comb n r = fact n/(fact r\*fact(n-r))

?

comb 5 3

10

[12]

### **Currying Example...**

comb :: Int -> Int -> Int comb n r = fact n/(fact r*fact(n-r)) comb 5 3 $\Rightarrow$ (comb 5) 3 $\Rightarrow$	<ul> <li>Function application is left-associative: f a b = (f a) b   f a b ≠ f (a b)</li> <li>The function space symbol '-&gt;' is right-associative: a -&gt; b -&gt; c = a -&gt; (b -&gt; c) a -&gt; b -&gt; c ≠ (a -&gt; b) -&gt; c</li> <li>f takes an Int as argument and returns a function of type Int -&gt; Int. g takes a function of type Int -&gt; Int as argument and returns an Int: f' :: Int -&gt; (Int -&gt; Int) f :: Int -&gt; Int -&gt; Int g :: (Int -&gt; Int) -&gt; Int</li> </ul>
What's the Type, Mr. Wolf?	Polymorphic Functions
If the type of a function f is $t_1 \rightarrow t_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow t_n \rightarrow t$ and f is applied to arguments $e_1::t_1, e_2::t_2, \cdots, e_k::t_k,$ and $k \le n$ then the result type is given by cancelling the types $t_1 \cdots t_k:$ $t_1 \rightarrow t_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow t_k \rightarrow t_{k+1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow t_n \rightarrow t$ Hence, f $e_1 e_2 \cdots e_k$ returns an object of type $t_{k+1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow t_n \rightarrow t$ . This is called the Rule of Cancellation.	<ul> <li>In Pascal we can't write a generic sort routine, i.e. one that can sort arrays of integers as well as arrays of reals:</li> <li>procedure Sort ( <ul> <li>var A : array of <type>;</type></li> <li>n : integer);</li> </ul> </li> <li>In Haskell (and many other FP languages) we can write polymorphic ("many shapes") functions.</li> <li>Functions of polymorphic type are defined by using type variables in the signature: <ul> <li>length :: [a] -&gt; Int</li> <li>length s =</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

[15]

520—Spring 2005—15

[16]

Associativity

### **Polymorphic Functions...**

ength is a function from lists of elements of some unspecified) type a, to integer. I.e. it doesn't matter if re're taking the length of a list of integers or a list of eals or strings, the algorithm is the same.

ength $[1,2,3] \Rightarrow 3$ (list of Int) ength $["Hi ", "there", "!"] \Rightarrow 3$ (list of String ength "Hi!" $\Rightarrow 3$ (list of Char)	<ul> <li>head :: [a] -&gt; a</li> <li>tail is a function from lists of elements of some type, to a list of elements of the same type: tail :: [a] -&gt; [a]</li> <li>cons "(:)" takes two arguments: an element of some type a and a list of elements of the same type. It returns a list of elements of type a: (:) :: a -&gt; [a] -&gt; [a]</li> </ul>
<b>Polymorphic Functions</b>	Summary
<ul> <li>Note that head and tail always take a list as their argument. tail always returns a list, but head can return any type of object, including a list.</li> <li>Note that it is because of Haskell's strong typing that we can only create lists of the same type of element. If we tried to do         <ul> <li>5 : [True]</li> <li>The Haskell type checker would complain that we were consing an Int onto a list of Bools, while the type of ":" is</li> <li>(:) :: a -&gt; [a] -&gt; [a]</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>We want to define functions that are as reusable as possible.</li> <li>Polymophic functions are reusable because they can be applied to arguments of different types.</li> <li>Curried functions are reusable because they can be specialized; i.e. from a curried function f we can create a new function f' simply by "plugging in" values for some of the arguments, and leaving others undefined.</li> </ul>

### **Polymorphic Functions...**

functions that are defined in the standard prelude.
head is a function from "lists-of-things" to "things":

We have already used a number of polymorphic

### Summary...

### Homework

<ul> <li>A polymorphic function is defined using type variables in the signature. A type variable can represent an arbitrary type.</li> <li>All occurences of a particular type variable appearing in a type signature must represent the same type.</li> <li>An identifier will be treated as an operator symbol if it is enclosed in backquotes: "``".</li> <li>An operator symbol can be treaded as an identifier by enclosing it in parenthesis: (+).</li> </ul>	Define a polymorphic function dup x which returns a tuple with the argument duplicated. Example: dup 1 (1,1) dup "Hello, me again!" ("Hello, me again!", "Hello, me again!") dup (dup 3.14) ((3.14,3.14), (3.14,3.14))
Spring 200515 [21]	520—Spring 2005—15 [22]
Homework	Homework
Define a polymorphic function copy n x which returns a list of n copies of x. Example: copy 5 "five" ["five", "five", "five", "five", "five", "five"] copy 5 5 [5,5,5,5,5] copy 5 (dup 5) [(5,5), (5,5), (5,5), (5,5)] Spring 2005-15 [22]	<pre> • Let f be a function from Int to Int, i.e. f :: Int -&gt; Int. Define a function total f x so that total f is the function which at value n gives the total f 0 + f 1 + + f n.  Example:  double x = 2*x pow2 x = x^2 totDub = total double totPow = total pow2 ? totDub 5 30 ? totPow 5 55 </pre>

# Homework

٩	Define an operator \$\$ so that x \$\$ xs returns True if
	${\tt x}$ is an element in ${\tt xs}$ , and <code>False</code> otherwise.

[25]

?	4 \$\$ [1,2,5,6,4 True	Example:
?	4 \$\$ [1,2,3,5] False	
?	4 \$\$ [] False	

—Spring 2005—15