

## CSc 520

# Principles of Programming Languages

## 9: Scheme — Metacircular Interpretation

Christian Collberg

collberg@cs.arizona.edu

Department of Computer Science  
University of Arizona

Copyright © 2004 Christian Collberg

—Spring 2005—9

[1]

- In this lecture I'm going to show how you can define Scheme by writing a **metacircular interpreter** for the language, i.e. an interpreter for Scheme written in Scheme.
- Before we can do that, we first need to learn a few more things about the language

520—Spring 2005—9

[2]

## Let Expressions

- A **let-expression** binds names to values:  

```
(let ((name1 value1) (name2 value2) ...)
  expression)
```
- The first argument to `let` is a list of (name value) pairs. The second argument is the expression to evaluate.

```
> (let ((a 3) (b 4) (square (lambda (x)(* x x))
  (plus +))
  (sqrt (plus (square a) (square b))))
```

5.0

—Spring 2005—9

[3]

## Let Expressions...

- Let-expressions can be nested:

```
> (let ((x 5) (c 4))
  (let ((v (* 4 x))
        (t (* 2 c)))
    (+ v t)))
```

28

520—Spring 2005—9

[4]

## Imperative Features

- Scheme is an **impure** functional language.
- I.e., Scheme has **imperative** features.
- I.e., in Scheme it is possible to program with **side-effects**.

`(set! var value)` Change the value of `var` to `value`.

`(set-car! var value)` Change the `car`-field of the cons-cell `var` to `value`.

`(set-cdr! var value)` Change the `cdr`-field of the cons-cell `var` to `value`.

## Imperative Features...

- Example:

```
> (let ((x 2) (l '(a b)))
    (set! x 3)
    (set-car! l '(c d))
    (set-cdr! l '(e))
    (display x) (newline)
    (display l) (newline))
3
((c d) e)
```

## Dotted Pairs

- S-expressions are constructed using **dotted pairs**.
- It is implemented as a struct (called a **cons-cell**) consisting of two fields (the size of a machine word) called `car` and `cdr`.
- We can manipulate these fields directly:

```
> '(1 . 2)
(1 . 2)
> (cons "stacy's" "mom")
("stacy's" . "mom")
> '(1 . (2 . 3))
(1 2 . 3)
> (cons 1 2)
(1 . 2)
```

## Dotted Pairs...

- When the second part of a dotted pair (the `cdr`-field) is a list, and the innermost `cdr`-field is the empty list, we get a “normal” Scheme list:

```
> '(1 . ())
(1)
> '(1 . (2 . ()))
(1 2)
> '(1 . (2 3))
(1 2 3)
```

## Dotted Pairs...

- We can use `set-car!` and `set-cdr!` to manipulate the fields of a `cons`-cell directly:

```
> (define x '(1 . 2))
> (set-car! x 'a)
> x
(a . 2)
> (set-cdr! x '(2 3))
> x
(a 2 3)
```

## Dotted Pairs...

- `(cons A B)` can be thought of as first creating a `cons`-cell on the heap (using `malloc`, for example), and then setting the `car` and `cdr` fields to `A` and `B`, respectively:

```
> (define x (cons 0 0))
> x
(0 . 0)
> (set-car! x '1)
> (set-cdr! x '())
> x
(1)
```

## Loops

Scheme's “for-loop” `do` takes these arguments:

1. A list of triples (*var init update*) which declares a variable *var*, with an initial value *init*, and which gets updated using the expression *update*, on each iteration;
2. A pair (*termination\_cond return\_value*) which gives the termination condition and return value of the loop; and
3. a loop body:

```
(do ((var1 init1 update1)
    (var2 init2 update2)
    ...)
    (termination_cond return_value)
    loop_body)
```

## Loops...

- Sum the numbers 1 to 4, printing out intermediate results:

```
> (do ((i 1 (+ i 1))
      (sum 0 (+ sum i)))
      ((= i 5) sum)
      (display sum)
      (newline)
      )
0
1
3
6
10
```

## Association Lists

- **Association lists** are simply lists of *key-value* pairs that can be searched sequentially:

```
> (assoc 'bob '((bob 22) (joe 32) (bob 3)))  
(bob 22)
```

- The list is searched from beginning to end, returning the first pair with a matching key:

**(assoc key alist)** Search for *key*; compare using `equal?`.

**(assq key alist)** Search for *key*; compare using `eq?`.

**(assv key alist)** Search for *key*; compare using `eqv?`.

## Association Lists...

```
> (define e '((a 1) (b 2) (c 3)))  
> (assq 'a e)  
(a 1)  
> (assq 'b e)  
(b 2)  
> (assq 'd e)  
#f  
> (assq (list 'a) '((a) (b) (c)))  
#f  
> (assoc (list 'a) '((a) (b) (c)))  
(a)  
> (assv 5 '((2 3) (5 7) (11 13)))  
(5 7)
```

## Association Lists...

- We can actually have more than one value:

```
> (assoc 'bob '((bob 5 male)  
              (jane 32 'female)))  
(bob 5 male)
```

## Apply

- **Apply** returns the result of applying its first argument to its second argument.

```
> (apply + '(6 7))  
13  
> (apply max '(2 5 1 7))  
7
```

## Eval

- `(eval arg)` evaluates its argument.

```
> (eval '(+ 4 5))
9
> (eval '(cons 'a '(b c))) (a b c)
```

## Eval...

- `eval` and `quote` are each other's inverses:

```
> (eval '(+ 4 5))
(+ 4 5)
> (eval (eval '(+ 4 5)))
9
> (eval (eval (eval ' '(+ 4 5))))
9
```

## Programs as Data

- Scheme is **homoiconic**, self-representing, i.e. programs and data are both represented the same (as S-expressions).
- This allows us to write programs that generate programs - useful in AI, for example.

```
> (define x 'car)
> (define y '(a b c))
> (define p (list x y))
> p
(car '(a b c))
> (eval p)
a
```

## Evaluation Order

- So far, we have said that to evaluate an expression `(op arg1 arg2 arg3)` we first evaluate the arguments, then apply the operator `op` to the resulting values.
- This is known as **applicative-order** evaluation.
- Example:

```
(define (double x) (* x x))

> (double (* 3 4))
⇒ (double 12)
⇒ (+ 12 12)
⇒ 24
```

## Evaluation Order...

- This is not the only possible order of evaluation
- In **normal-order** evaluation parameters to a function are always passed unevaluated.
- This sometimes leads to extra work:

```
(define (double x) (* x x))
```

```
> (double (* 3 4))  
⇒ (+ (* 3 4) (* 3 4))  
⇒ (+ 12 (* 3 4))  
⇒ (+ 12 12)  
⇒ 24
```

## Evaluation Order...

- Applicative-order can sometimes also lead to more work than normal-order:

```
(define (switch x a b c)  
  (cond  
    ((< x 0) a)  
    ((= x 0) b)  
    ((> x 0) c)))
```

```
> (switch -1 (+ 1 2) (+ 2 3) (+ 3 4))
```

- Here, applicative-order evaluates all the arguments, although only one value will ever be needed.

## Evaluation Order...

- Ordinary Scheme functions (such as `+`, `car`, etc) use applicative-order evaluation.
- Some **special forms** (`cond`, `if`, etc) must use normal order since they need to consume their arguments unevaluated:

```
> (if #t (display 5) (display 6))  
5  
> (cond (#f (display 5))  
        (#f (display 6))  
        (#t (display 7)))  
7
```

## A Metacircular Interpreter

- One way to define the semantics of a language (the effects that programs written in the language will have), is to write a **metacircular interpreter**.
- I.e, we define the language by writing an interpreter for it, in the language itself.
- A metacircular interpreter for Scheme consists of two mutually recursive functions, `mEval` and `mApply`:

```
(define (mEval Expr)  
  ...  
)  
(define (mApply Op Args)  
  ...  
)
```

## A Metacircular Interpreter...

- We want to be able to call our interpreter like this:

```
> (mEval (+ 1 2))
3
> (mEval (+ 1 (* 3 4)))
13
> (mEval (quote (2 3)))
(2 3)
> (mEval (car (quote (1 2))))
1
```

## A Metacircular Interpreter...

```
> (mEval (cdr (quote (1 2))))
(2)
> (mEval (cons (quote 5) (quote (1 2))))
(5 1 2)
> (mEval (null? (quote (1 2))))
#f
> (mEval (null? (quote ())))
#t
> (mEval (if (eq? 1 1) 5 6))
5
```

## A Metacircular Interpreter...

- mEval handles **primitive special forms** (lambda, if, const, define, quote, etc), itself.
- Note that, for these forms, we must use normal-order evaluation.
- For other expressions, mEval evaluates all arguments and calls mApply to perform the required operation:

## A Metacircular Interpreter...

```
(define (mEval Expr)
  (cond
    [(null? Expr) '()]
    [(number? Expr) Expr]
    [(eq? (car Expr) 'if)
     (mEvalIf (cadr Expr)
              (caddr Expr)
              (caddr Expr))]
    [(eq? (car Expr) 'quote) (cadr Expr)]
    [else (mApply (car Expr)
                   (mEvalList (cdr Expr)))]
  )
)
```

## A Metacircular Interpreter...

- `mApply` checks if the operation is one of the builtin primitive ones, and if so performs the required operation:

```
(define (mApply Op Args)
  (case Op
    [(car) (caar Args)]
    [(cdr) (cdar Args)]
    [(cons) (cons (car Args) (cadr Args))]
    [(eq?) (eq? (car Args) (cadr Args))]
    [(null?) (null? (car Args))]
    [(+) (+ (car Args) (cadr Args))]
    [(*) (* (car Args) (cadr Args))]
  )
)
```

## A Metacircular Interpreter...

- Some auxiliary functions:

```
(define (mEvalIf b t e)
  (if (mEval b) (mEval t) (mEval e))
)

(define (mEvalList List)
  (cond
    [(null? List) '()]
    [else (cons (mEval (car List))
                 (mEvalList (cdr List)))]
  )
)
```

## A Metacircular Interpreter...

- Note that this little interpreter lacks many of Scheme's functions.
- We don't have symbols, `lambda`, `define`.
- We can't define or invoke user-defined functions.
- There are no way to define or lookup variables, local or global. To do that, `mEval` and `mApply` pass around **environments** (*association lists*) of variable/value pairs.

## Readings and References

- **Read Scott, pp. 592–606, 609-610**