CSc 553 2011— Principles of Compilation Evaluation of Presentation

The presenter was well pre- pared (mastered the topic, made slides/handouts, prac- ticed the talk)						<u> </u>			
The presenter made good use of slides, blackboard, computer						9			
The presentation was well organized (introduction, subtopics, conclusion)									
The presentation had the right level of technical detail						<u> </u>			
The presenter timed the presentation well						9			
The presenter spoke clearly and at the right pace						9			
Overall quality of presentation	③								
Commen	ats to	the	Pro	eser	nter				

HERE!

${\bf Common\ Positive\ Feedback--Tick\ All\ That\ Apply}$

Dude, totally excellent talk! Awesome!	
Dude, I loved how you connected your topic to the "big pic-	
ture!"	
Dude, I actually wanted to listen to what you were saying —	
you made a boring topic seem interesting!	
Dude, I loved your ideas on how the paper could have been	
improved!	
Dude, I loved your examples! So much easier to understand a	
10-line code sample than slides of text!	
Dude, I loved your figures! So much easier to understand than	
slides of text!	

Common Negative Feedback — Tick All That Apply

Dude, you talk waaaay too fast!					
Dude, like there's too much stuff on your slides!					
Dude, I couldn't hear what you were saying! Speak up, yo!					
Dude, practice your talk next time, will ya!					
Dude, talk to me, not the whiteboard!					
Dude, too much technical detail — I never got what was im-					
portant about the paper!					
Dude, not enough detail - I learned like nuthin', dude!					
Dude, what about your own opinions? You never said what					
was good/bad about the paper!					
Dude, who are you? You never introduced yourself!					
Dude, what paper were you talking about? You never intro-					
duced the paper or the authors!					