

## Recursion

- Observe that  $\text{EXP}_1$  — as currently defined — has no recursion:

**Ex:** Let  $f_{00}$  be bound to  $\lambda x.0$  in the environment  $u[f_{00} \mapsto \lambda x.0]$ . Consider the evaluation of the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{evaluate}[\![\text{let fun } f_{00} (n: \text{int}) = \\ & \quad \text{if } n=0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } n + f_{00}(n-1) \\ & \text{in } f_{00}(3) \!] (u[f_{00} \mapsto \lambda x.0]) \\ &= \text{evaluate}[\![f_{00}(3) \!] (u[f_{00} \mapsto \lambda x.0, f_{00} \mapsto f]) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \lambda a. \text{evaluate}[\![\text{if } n=0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } n + f_{00}(n-1) \!] \\ & \quad (u[f_{00} \mapsto \lambda x.0, n \mapsto a]) \\ &= \lambda a. \text{if } a = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } a + (\lambda x.0)(a-1) \\ &= \lambda a. \text{if } a = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } a \\ &= \lambda a. a \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus: } & \text{evaluate}[\![f_{00}(3) \!] (u[f_{00} \mapsto \lambda x.0, f_{00} \mapsto f]) \\ &= \text{evaluate}[\![f_{00}(3) \!] (u[f_{00} \mapsto f]) \\ &= f(3) = (\lambda a. a) 3 = 3 \end{aligned}$$

- *First*  $f_{00}$  is newly introduced symbol, defined in terms of *second*  $f_{00}$  — which is a pre-existing symbol in environment with a *different* binding.
- Analogous to `let val x = x * 2 in ...` — not recursive!

## Recursion (cont.)

- To obtain recursion, have to assure that *both* occurrences of  $f_{OO}$  are bound to the *same* (not previously defined & as yet unknown) *function*.
- Thus  $f_{OO}$  will be bound to  $f^*$  where:

$$\begin{aligned} f^* &= \lambda a. \text{evaluate} \llbracket \mathbf{if} \ n=0 \ \mathbf{then} \ 0 \ \mathbf{else} \ n + f_{OO}(n-1) \rrbracket \\ &\quad (u[f_{OO} \mapsto f^*, n \mapsto a]) \\ &= \lambda a. \mathbf{if} \ a = 0 \ \mathbf{then} \ 0 \ \mathbf{else} \ a + f^*(a-1) \end{aligned}$$

- This last equation is a *fixed point equation* of the form

$$f^* = \tau f^*$$

where  $\tau$  is a *functional* given by

$$\tau = \lambda g. \lambda z. \mathbf{if} \ z = 0 \ \mathbf{then} \ 0 \ \mathbf{else} \ z + g(z-1)$$

- Note that the functional  $\tau$  is a “function transformer”:

$$\tau : (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{int} \rightarrow \mathbf{int})$$

- Scott:  $f^*$  is **defined** by the fixed point equation  $f^* = \tau f^*$ , where  $\tau = \lambda g. \lambda z. \dots$  is a *functional* derived from the body of the recursive definition.

- What is  $f^*$  for this example? What function  $f^*$  makes the equation  $f^* = \tau f^*$  “balance”?

$$f^* = \begin{cases} \lambda n. \underline{\hspace{2cm}} & \mathbf{if} \ n \geq 0 \\ \lambda n. \perp & \mathbf{if} \ n < 0 \end{cases}$$

- Now what is the value of the program (expression)?

$$\begin{aligned} \text{evaluate} \llbracket f_{OO}(3) \rrbracket (u[f_{OO} \mapsto f^*]) &= f^*(3) \\ &= \underline{\hspace{2cm}} \end{aligned}$$

## Recursive Definition

- ML:

- `fun fact(n: int) = if n=0 then 1 else n*fact(n-1);`
- `fact(3);`

- Scheme:

```
>> (define (fact n)
      (if (= n 0) 1 (* n (fact (-1+ n)))))
>> (fact 3)
```

- Two kinds of `let` clause in Scheme: (`let ...`) for non-recursive definition and (`letrec ...`) for recursive. Top-level definitions (as above) are assumed to be recursive.

- Define  $EXP_2 \triangleq EXP_1 + \text{recursion} + \text{conditional expressions}$ :

- Add syntax

```
Declaration ::= ...
             | recfun Identifier (Formal-Parameter)
               = Expression
```

- example

```
let recfun fact(n:int) =
      if n = 0 then 1 else n * fact(n - 1)
in fact(3)
```

- In each case, what is the *meaning* of the “body” or RHS  $B$  of the recursive definition?

- a *functional* that transforms a function to a function

- $\tau = \lambda f. \text{evaluate} \llbracket B \rrbracket (u[\text{fact} \mapsto f])$   
 $= \lambda f. \lambda x. \text{if } x = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } x \cdot f(x - 1)$

## Recursive Definition (cont.)

Ex:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\lambda x. x + 1) &= \lambda x. \text{if } x = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } x \cdot (\lambda z. z + 1)(x - 1) \\ &= \lambda x. \text{if } x = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } x^2 \\ &= (\lambda x. x^2)[0 \mapsto 1] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\lambda x. x) &= \lambda x. \text{if } x = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } x \cdot (\lambda z. z)(x - 1) \\ &= \lambda x. \text{if } x = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } x \cdot (x - 1) \\ &= (\lambda x. x^2 - x)[0 \mapsto 1] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\lambda x. 1) &= \lambda x. \text{if } x = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } x \cdot (\lambda z. 1)(x - 1) \\ &= \lambda x. \text{if } x = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } x \cdot 1 \\ &= (\lambda x. x)[0 \mapsto 1] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\lambda x. x!) &= \lambda x. \text{if } x = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } x \cdot (\lambda z. z!)(x - 1) \\ &= \lambda x. \text{if } x = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } x \cdot (x - 1)! \\ &= (\lambda x. x!) \end{aligned}$$

- Notice that  $\lambda x. x!$  is a *fixed point* of the functional  $\tau$
- Meaning of `fact` in `let recfun fact(n) = B in ... ?`
  - Want  $\text{evaluate}[\![\text{fact}]\!] = \text{function } f^* \text{ such that } f^* = \text{evaluate}[\![B]\!](u[\text{fact} \mapsto f^*])$
  - i.e.,  $f^* = (\lambda f. \text{evaluate}[\![B]\!](u[\text{fact} \mapsto f])) f^*$
  - i.e.,  $f^* = \tau f^*$
  - ∴ Want a function that is the fixed point of  $\tau$

## Recursive Definition (cont.)

- Solution:  $f^* = \lambda z.z!$

— Verify:

$$\begin{aligned}\tau f^* &= \tau (\lambda z.z!) \\ &= \lambda x. \mathbf{if} \ x = 0 \ \mathbf{then} \ 1 \ \mathbf{else} \ x \cdot *(\lambda z.z!)(x - 1) \\ &= \lambda x. \mathbf{if} \ x = 0 \ \mathbf{then} \ 1 \ \mathbf{else} \ x \cdot *(x - 1)! \\ &= \lambda x. \mathbf{if} \ x = 0 \ \mathbf{then} \ 1 \ \mathbf{else} \ x! \\ &= \lambda x. x! \\ &= f^*\end{aligned}$$

—  $\therefore f^* = \lambda z.z!$  is a fixed point

- Questions Remain:

- Is  $\lambda z.z!$  the *right* fixed point ? ( there might be several)
- What is the connection between this fixed point and the function that is actually *computed* by recursion?

## Fixed Points

- *Definition:* Let  $\tau : D \rightarrow D$  be a mapping from a domain to itself.  $x^*$  is a *fixed point of  $D$*   $\Leftrightarrow x^* = \tau(x^*)$

Examples from various domains:

- $D = \mathcal{R}$ . To find a root of  $x^3 - x^2 - x - 1 = 0$ , divide through by  $x^2$  to get  

$$x = 1 + (1/x) + (1/x^2) = \tau(x)$$
The positive root  $x^* = 1.839 \dots$  is found by iterating:  $x_0 = 1, x_{n+1} = \tau(x_n)$
- $D = \text{Integer}$ .
  - $\tau = \lambda x.x + 1$  has *no* fixed point (except  $\infty$ ).
  - $\tau = \lambda x.x^2$  has *two* fixed points.
  - $\tau = \lambda x.x$  has infinitely many fixed points — any point in  $D$ .
- $D = (\text{Integer} \rightarrow \text{Integer})$ .
  - $\tau = \lambda f.\lambda x.f(x)$  has any function in  $D$  as fixed point
  - $\tau = \lambda f.\lambda x.$  **if**  $x = 0$  **then**  $0$  **else**  $x + f(x - 1)$  has fixed point  $f^* = \lambda x.x(x + 1)/2$

- $\tau = \lambda f. \lambda x. x + f(x - 1)$  has the fixed points  $f_c^* = \lambda x. x(x + 1)/2 + c$ , one for each  $c$  in  $D$ .  
Note that  $f_{\perp}^* = \lambda x. \perp = \Omega$ .
- $\tau = \lambda f. \lambda x. \mathbf{if } f(x) = 0 \mathbf{ then } 1 \mathbf{ else } 0$  has the fixed point  $f^* = \lambda x. \perp = \Omega$ .
- $\tau = \lambda f. \lambda x. \mathbf{if } x = 0 \mathbf{ then } a \mathbf{ else } f(x)$  has as fixed point  $f^*$  any  $f$  such that  $f(0) = a$ .
- $D = (\text{Integer} \times \text{Integer} \rightarrow \text{Integer})$ .
  - Consider the fixed point equation
 
$$f(m, n) = \tau(f)(m, n)$$

$$= \mathbf{if } m = 0 \mathbf{ then } n \mathbf{ else } f(m - 1, n + 1)$$
  - $g(m, n) = m + n$  is a fixed point. Verification:
 
$$\tau(g)(m, n) = \mathbf{if } m = 0 \mathbf{ then } n \mathbf{ else } g(m - 1, n + 1)$$

$$= \mathbf{if } m = 0 \mathbf{ then } n \mathbf{ else } (m - 1) + (n + 1)$$

$$= \mathbf{if } m = 0 \mathbf{ then } n \mathbf{ else } m + n$$

$$= m + n$$

$$= g(m, n)$$
  - Fact: If a fixed point is defined for every element of the source domain, then it is the unique fixed point (McCarthy's Recursion Induction Principle).

•  $D = (\text{Integer} \rightarrow \text{Integer})$ .

— Let  $\tau = \lambda f. \lambda n. f(n + 1)$ . Now for every integer  $a$ ,  $g_a = \lambda n. a$  is a fixed point.

— Which one is “correct”?

— What do we get by computing the recursion?

$f(n) \rightarrow f(n + 1) \rightarrow f(n + 2) \rightarrow \dots$

— So the fixed point actually computed is

$g_{\perp} = \lambda n. \perp$ . This is the *minimal fixed point* of  $\tau$  in  $D = (\text{Integer} \rightarrow \text{Integer})$ , i.e., that fixed point of  $\tau$  that contains the least amount of information.



## Semantics of Recursion

- Principle: The function defined by the recursive definition

$$f = \tau(f)$$

is the fixed point  $f^*$  of  $\tau$  that is minimal in information ordering among all fixed points of  $\tau$

- Key Properties:

- *Uniqueness*: There is only one such minimal  $f^*$  for  $\tau$ .

- *Existence*:  $f^*$  always exists: any  $\tau$  constructible by a syntactic definition in any programming language is monotone and continuous, and hence has such a minimal fixed point.

- *Correctness*: For every input  $n$ ,  $f^*(n)$  agrees with the value (possibly  $\perp$ ) that is computed by “unwinding the recursion” in the usual way:

$$f(n) \rightarrow \tau(f(n)) \rightarrow \tau(\tau(f(n))) \rightarrow \dots$$

- *Realized by Successive Approximation*. The sequence of functions  $f_0 = \Omega$ ;  $f_{n+1} = \tau(f_n)$  forms a monotone chain (nondecreasing sequence) in  $D$   $f_0 \sqsubseteq f_1 \sqsubseteq f_2 \sqsubseteq \dots$ . This chain converges to a limit identical to the minimal fixed point:  $f^* = \cup_i f_i$

## Semantics of Recursion (cont.)

- Main result of fixed point semantics: the notion of “function defined by recursion” has a semantic meaning independent of what is obtained by formal computation, but agreeing with it in all respects.
- **Ex:**  $\tau = \lambda f. \lambda x. \mathbf{if} \ x = 0 \ \mathbf{then} \ 1 \ \mathbf{else} \ f(x - 2)$ 
  - Fixed points are
$$g_n = \lambda x. \mathbf{if} \ (x \geq 0) \wedge \mathit{even}(x) \ \mathbf{then} \ 1 \ \mathbf{else} \ n$$
  - Minimal fixed point is
$$g_{\perp} = \lambda x. \mathbf{if} \ (x \geq 0) \wedge \mathit{even}(x) \ \mathbf{then} \ 1 \ \mathbf{else} \ \perp$$
because  $g_{\perp} \sqsubseteq g_n$  for all  $n$  in  $D$ .

It is the “most partial” of all the fixed points; i.e., contains the bare minimum of information needed to satisfy the equation  $f = \tau(f)$ .

## Semantics of Recursion (cont.)

- Pick values and compute by unwinding the recursion

$$f(n) = \tau(f)(n) = \mathbf{if } n = 0 \mathbf{ then } 1 \mathbf{ else } f(n - 2)$$

$$f(3) \rightarrow f(1) \rightarrow f(-1) \rightarrow \dots \text{ (diverges)}$$

$$f(4) \rightarrow f(2) \rightarrow f(0) \rightarrow 1 \text{ (converges)}$$

and in general  $f(n)$  diverges for  $n$  odd or negative and converges to 1 for  $n$  even and non-negative.

- Start with “zero-information” approximation  $\Omega$ , and form a chain by successive application of  $\tau$ :

$$g_0 = \Omega$$

$$g_1 = \tau(g_0)$$

$$= \lambda n. \mathbf{if } n = 0 \mathbf{ then } 1 \mathbf{ else } \Omega(n - 2)$$

$$= \lambda n. \mathbf{if } n = 0 \mathbf{ then } 1 \mathbf{ else } \perp$$

$$g_2 = \tau(g_1)$$

$$= \lambda n. \mathbf{if } n = 0 \mathbf{ then } 1$$

$$\mathbf{else (if } (n - 2) = 0 \mathbf{ then } 1 \mathbf{ else } \perp)$$

$$= \lambda n. \mathbf{if } (n = 0) \vee (n = 2) \mathbf{ then } 1 \mathbf{ else } \perp$$

$$g_3 = \tau(g_2)$$

$$= \lambda n. \mathbf{if } n = 0 \mathbf{ then } 1$$

$$\mathbf{else (if } (n - 2) = 0 \vee (n - 2) = 2 \mathbf{ then } 1$$

$$\mathbf{else } \perp)$$

$$= \lambda n. \mathbf{if } (n = 0) \vee (n = 2) \vee (n = 4) \mathbf{ then } 1$$

$$\mathbf{else } \perp$$

$$g_4 = \tau(g_3)$$

...

It is clear that these functions form a chain, each an extension of its predecessor containing more information (being more defined) than its predecessor. It is also evident that the chain converges to the limit function

$$g_{\perp} = \lambda n. \mathbf{if} (n \geq 0) \wedge \mathit{even}(n) \mathbf{then} 1 \mathbf{else} \perp.$$

## EXP<sub>2</sub>: EXP With Recursive Function Definition

(EXP<sub>2</sub>  $\triangleq$  EXP<sub>1</sub> + recursion + conditional expressions)

- Extend Syntax:

```
Declaration ::= ...
    | recfun Identifier (Formal-Parameter)
      = Expression
Expression ::= ...
    | Expression = Expression
    | if Expression then Expression
      else Expression
```

- Extend Semantics:

New semantic rule for recursive function *definition*:

- construct a functional *abstraction*  $\tau$  that
  - binds formal parm to  $\lambda$ -variable  $x$
  - binds function name to  $\lambda$ -variable  $f$
  - evaluates body in definition *env* overlain by these bindings
  - constructs  $\tau$  from this body by lambda abstraction
- bind *fixed point* of  $\tau$  to name  $I$

EXP<sub>2</sub> (cont.)

*elaborate*[[**recfun**  $I(FP) = E$ ]] *env* =  
  **let**  $\tau = \lambda f . \lambda x . \text{evaluate}[[E]](\text{env}[I \mapsto f, FP \mapsto x])$   
  **in**  
  **let**  $func = \tau func$  — fixed point  
  **in**  
  *bind*( $I$ , *function func*)

— If  $I$  does not occur in  $E$ , then this reduces to  
 $func = \tau func = \lambda x . \text{evaluate}[[E]](\text{env}[FP \mapsto x])$   
which reduces to the rule for ordinary functions:  
*elaborate*[[**fun**  $I(FP) = E$ ]] *env* =  $\dots$

- Add semantics for **if**, relational operators, etc.
- All other semantics (e.g., function calls) stays the same